	1
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3 4 5 6 7 8	NSI INTERNATIONAL, INC, : CV-12-5528 (AKT) Plaintiff, : United States Courthouse Central Islip, New York MONA MUSTAFA, : May 26, 2016 Defendant. :30 p.m.
9	TRANSCRIPT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE BEFORE THE HONORABLE A. KATHLEEN TOMLINSON
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11 12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Plaintiff: JAMIE S. FELSEN, ESQ. Milman Labuda Law Group 3000 Marcus Avenue
14	Lake Success, New York 11042
15	
16	MONA MUSTAFA: Defendant, Pro Se
17	
18	
19	Official Court Reporter: Paul J. Lombardi, RMR, FCRR
20	Ph. (631) 712-6106 100 Federal Plaza - Suite 1180 Fax (631) 712-6122 Central Islip, New York 11722
21	
22	
23	
24	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography.
25	Transcript produced by CAT.

2 1 (this is Judge Tomlinson, and this is a civil something or 2 other with the plaintiff live and the defendant live via 3 videoconference) THE CLERK: Calling civil case NSI International 4 Incorporated v Mustafa. 5 6 Please state your appearance for the record. 7 MR. FELSEN: Good morning, your Honor. Jamie Felsen for the plaintiff NSI 8 International, Incorporated. 9 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 And on the videoconference. 12 MS. MUSTAFA: Your Honor, I'm Mona Mustafa 13 representing myself pro se, and I also have a witness 14 Fareed Mustafa to be present to observe the proceeding. 15 THE COURT: All right. This is a relative? 16 MS. MUSTAFA: He's my father, yes. 17 THE COURT: Very well, then. 18 Can you hear Mr. Felsen when he was speaking? 19 MS. MUSTAFA: Yes, I can. 20 THE COURT: Very well, then. 21 I'll note for the record we have our court 22 reporter, Mr. Lombardi, here taking down the proceedings 23 as well. 24 Now, I do want to put some context on the record 25 here so it's clear what we are doing here this morning and

let me begin with that.

First of all, I had previously issued a report and recommendation in this case which Judge Bianco adopted in its entirety on March 26th of 2014. On September 8th of 2014, Judge Bianco denied defendant Mustafa's motion for a new trial, denied the motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, denied the extension of the time to file an appeal, and the defendant's motion for a more definite statement and entered judgment here in favor of the plaintiff in the amount of \$80,645.

On September 26th of 2014, Ms. Mustafa filed a notice of appeal to the Second Circuit. On January 29th, 2015, the plaintiff filed a motion to compel responses to its first post judgment requests for documents, and first post judgment interrogatories. That motion was filed at docket entry No. 80.

On January 30, 2015, Judge Bianco referred that motion to me in an order found at docket entry 82. On February 9th of 2015 I granted the motion in an order found at docket entry 83 and I directed Ms. Mustafa to produce her responses by March 2, 2015, and that if she failed to comply, the court would require her to appear for a hearing on why sanctions should not be imposed for the failure to comply.

On March 2, 2015, there was a filing which is

found at DE 87 entitled Ms. Mustafa's, quote, response and demand, close quote, a document consisting of 78 pages which, first of all, demanded that certain documents be scanned into ECF and be provided to the Second Circuit for her appeal. She says these exhibits were filed in hard copy on April 26, 2013, as docket entry 39.

Secondly, she stated that she declines to provide answers to A, the restraining notice and, B, plaintiff's first post judgment request for production of documents, as well as the plaintiff's first post judgment interrogatories based on certain arguments, first of all, that this was a second attempt to collect her personal information. She says she previously responded to an information subpoena and restraining notice on November 20, 2014. That was attached as Exhibit 2 to her papers, and she says that it was falsely represented that she was located in the State of New York.

In response to that argument and its filing in response, the plaintiff says this was a second attempt for post judgment demands that were valid under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69(a)(2), in light of the fact that this action is pending here in New York.

The second argument raised by defendant Mustafa is that the Labuda and Felsen attorneys were not admitted to practice law in Illinois. According to Ms. Mustafa,

Mr. Felsen never responded, so the firm is holding itself out as being licensed to practice in Illinois which she says is not true. So she requested an investigation of the Felsen firm by the Illinois registry and disciplinary, to ALJ Gertrude McCarthy of the human rights commission and notes that no investigation was ongoing at that time, but case numbers were assigned.

According to Ms. Mustafa, Labuda and Felsen filed all kinds of pleadings and motions and letters in Illinois without being admitted and prior to filing requests for pro hac vice admission from an administrative law judge who Ms. Mustafa says previously had her license suspended for 60 days for misconduct.

The plaintiff responded to that argument that Labuda and Felsen were not required to be admitted in Illinois in order for Ms. Mustafa to be required to respond to demands served concerning a judgment entered by the Honorable Joseph Bianco here in the Eastern District of New York.

The third argument brought up by Ms. Mustafa is that the initial dismissal of her retaliation charge is questionable, and that the reinstated charge should never have been dismissed.

The fourth argument demands a full record to the Second Circuit.

Argument five she says procedures in the Illinois Human Rights Commission is following is questionable. They are not consistent with the Illinois Human Rights Commission order and therefore the order is void. She says there have been multiple attempts by the Illinois Human Rights Commission to prevent her from timely filing her pleadings and called the integrity of the files maintained at this court and that the Illinois Human Rights Commission may have been compromised, close quote. She has filed she says tampering complaints with the United States Postal Service.

The response from the plaintiffs also is that judgment has not been stayed, notwithstanding the Second Circuit appeal, and, therefore, Ms. Mustafa is required to respond. She further argues that Donnie L. Gray, the general counsel of the Illinois Human Rights Commission, may have been blind carbon copying Joseph Labuda on e-mails referencing Ms. Mustafa's first attempt to file a petition or rehearing en banc before the Illinois Human Rights Commission.

Her sixth argument is that the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution permits her to refuse to answer. She says she has a right to be individually secured against any unreasonable search and seizure. She believes that criminal activity may have occurred, and

therefore she refuses to answer until such time as the Second Circuit renders a determination on her appeal under docket 14-3706.

Plaintiff responds to that argument by stating that this is a civil action and Ms. Mustafa does not identify any criminal conduct and criminal activity does not preclude her or give her the right not to answer these demands.

On page eight of her submission I quote

Ms. Mustafa states that, to compel performance on a

potentially void order and judgment would be construed by

her as continuing harassment on a second retaliatory

lawsuit, close quote. She states further that she is not

refusing to respond, but she refuses to answer, and she

states as well that she has fully complied with my orders

in this regard.

On March 3rd, 2015, NSI filed a motion to compel and for sanctions in particular, that's found at document entry 85, responding to the submission of Ms. Mustafa. Plaintiff's counsel states that Ms. Mustafa appears to be refusing to comply with the restraining notice and NSI seeks an order requiring her to appear in person for a hearing or issue sanctions against her, first of all, for her failure to comply with the court's February 9, 2016 order, second, her refusal to respond to NSI's first post

judgment request for documents and post judgment first request for interrogatories based on frivolous grounds, third, the possible failure to comply with a restraining notice issued to her by NSI.

At DE 88, docket entry 88, Ms. Mustafa filed a document quoted second attempt filed 3/9/15, which is a document the same in all respects as what she filed in DE 87. It reiterates to Judge Bianco that she wants missing prior exhibits posted to ECF.

On 3/19/15, the plaintiffs filed a motion to Judge Bianco for an injunction against further litigation by the defendant, that's at DE 89. On May 6th of 2015, Judge Bianco denied the permanent injunction motion.

That's found at docket entry 92.

On August 17th of 2015, the plaintiff's second motion for sanctions to preclude Ms. Mustafa from filing frivolous lawsuits without the court's authority was filed with Judge Bianco. That's found at docket entry 93.

According to plaintiff's counsel, Ms. Mustafa filed a new charge in EEOC against NSI on and this is in Illinois on March 9th of 2015.

On August 25th of 2015, Judge Bianco again denied the motion for a litigation injunction, that's found as docket entry 95, also stating that the new lawsuit was pending in another jurisdiction.

On September 8th of 2015, NSI filed a second motion for attorneys fees, which is found at docket entry 96. That was filed with Judge Bianco seeking attorneys fees incurred which led to defendant Mustafa's appeal to the Second Circuit and attempting to enforce the judgment, they wanted the fees for that purpose as well.

On September 22, 2015, the Second Circuit issued its mandate adopting and affirming the judgment of a district court, in which Judge Bianco had adopted the report and recommendation of this court.

On March 28th of 2016 I grant in part and denied in part DE 85 which has been referred to as the motion to compel. My decision is found at docket entry 100 and I point out several things from that order.

First of all, I found that the defendant,
Ms. Mustafa, had not provided valid reasons for her
refusal to respond to the plaintiff's discovery requests.
Primarily, Ms. Mustafa's objection to responding was based
on the fact that plaintiff's counsel is not admitted to
practice in the State of Illinois and I found that that
argument was irrelevant. In fact, I note that in her own
papers, defendant Mustafa included at Exhibit 3 two
letters from a senior counsel at the attorney registration
and disciplinary commission of the Supreme Court of
Illinois.

The first letter, which is dated January 11th of 2013, states the following, quote, Ms. Mustafa, we have received your recent requests for investigations of Joseph Labuda, Jamie Felsen and Gertrude McCarthy. The duties of this commission relate primarily to investigating and prosecuting alleged violations of the Illinois rules of professional conduct by attorneys who practice law in this state.

We do not interpret or enforce criminal statutes or federal civil laws and do not review decisions by judges and ALJs who may also happen to be Illinois lawyers. This commission cannot make determinations regarding the truth or merit to the claims advanced in your case by Messrs. Labuda and Felsen or regarding the correctness of ALJ McCarthy's rulings in the case. We have reviewed your submissions and have determined they do not provide sufficient factual information indicating possible acts of professional misconduct by Mr. Labuda, Mr. Felsen, or Ms. McCarthy to justify any investigation or other action by this agency.

Very truly yours, Althea K. Walsh, Senior Counsel.

The second letter is dated approximately ten days later, January 22, 2015, and it says the following:

Dear Ms. Mustafa,

We have received your recent communication regarding the above matters. The current Illinois Supreme Court Rule 707 is effective for appearances for proceedings on or after July 1, 2013. The amended rule does not require any action by out-of-state attorneys who obtained permission to appear in Illinois proceedings prior to July 1, 2013. As you know, neither Joseph Labuda nor Jamie Felsen is admitted to practice law in the state of Illinois.

So there are no findings in Illinois with regard to the Milman firm, lawyers from that firm and, in any event, as I have indicated in my March 28th order, that information is fully irrelevant with regard to the matter pending in this jurisdiction and rulings and orders issued by both Judge Bianco and myself. The discovery request at issue here concerns this particular litigation, which is pending in the Eastern District of New York where the plaintiff's attorneys are admitted.

I stated in my March 28th, 2016 order that the defendant's disagreement with certain issues which go to the merits of the underlying action, does not provide a proper basis for Ms. Mustafa's refusal to respond to post judgment discovery requests. I also pointed out that Judge Bianco's decision granting summary judgment to the plaintiff was affirmed by the United States Court of

Appeals for the Second Circuit on September 22, 2015.

Therefore, to the extent the defendant has refused to respond because the Second Circuit's decision was still pending, that objection is now moot.

As to the relief sought by plaintiff's counsel, I addressed that issue in two parts.

First, I found that there was no reasonable basis for the defendant to continue to delay responding to the plaintiff's discovery requests and that the court would not tolerate further delay. I ordered the defendants for the final time to respond to plaintiff's post judgment document requests and interrogatories previously served on her on December 16, 2014.

However, I limited several of the plaintiff's demands. Specifically, defendant ordered, was not required to respond to document request No. 14, or to interrogatory No.s 8, 9, 11 and 13. However, I directed Ms. Mustafa to respond to the remaining document requests and interrogatories no later than April 28th of 2016, and in part two of responding to the motion I issued an order to show cause directing that Ms. Mustafa appear in person before this court at the Alphonse D'Amatto Courthouse in Central Islip or I gave her the alternative option of appearing here by videoconference from the Northern District in Illinois, and directed that Ms. Mustafa show

cause why sanctions should not be imposed on her for her failure to comply with the orders of this court.

I encouraged Ms. Mustafa to consult with an attorney regarding the order to show cause and its consequences and placed her on notice that failure to comply with the order may result in her being found in contempt of court and subject to further action by the court.

As to the restraining notice request by the plaintiff, I set forth the reasons why the court declined to take further action unless and until it received more information from the plaintiff.

Thereafter, on April 8th, 2016, in docket entry 102, Judge Bianco referred DE 96, which is NSI's second motion for attorneys fees to this court, for an R&R. That had been filed on March 3, 2015, once again, for issues associated with the Second Circuit appeal and the prior expenses incurred in trying to enforce the judgment.

On April 18th of 2016, I issued an order DE 103, regarding the plaintiff's submissions 96 and 97, directing plaintiff's counsel to incorporate those arguments into one motion instead of by reference to a previous motion and to resubmit the materials by May 6th.

On April 19th, 2016, plaintiff's counsel,

Mr. Felsen, resubmitted the comprehensive motion with a

declaration and memorandum of law. Those are found at DE 105, 106 and 107. Those were filed the next day on April 19th, and also the firm filed an affidavit of service for those papers on defendant Mustafa by first class mail. That affidavit of service is found at docket entry 108.

On April 19th, 2016, defendant Mustafa filed a motion seeking to adjourn the date of the order to show cause hearing because of her exam schedule at Northern Illinois University College of Law, and also to permit her to appear at the order to show cause hearing by videoconference because travel to New York, she stated, would pose an undue burden on her as a single mother. I granted that motion and directed the plaintiff to provide available dates in late May for the adjourned hearing. On April 29th, 2016, after receiving further submissions, I set today, May 26th, as the new date for the hearing, and issued an amended order to show cause found at docket entry 113.

On May 2nd, 2016, defendant Mustafa filed a response to the amended order to show cause with various attachments, that's found at DE 115. Several days later, on May 6th of 2016, defendant Mustafa filed a, quote, corrected response to the amended order to show cause, close quote, with attachments, that is found at DE 116. She stated that she was correcting a clerical error and

said that original answer inadvertently omitted pages five through seven of Exhibit B.

As to why she should not be held in contempt, her first argument is that NSI International has disclosed it is a wholly owned subsidiary of Powerview Investments Limited, and says that this information contradicts Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1, in the disclosure statement requirements as well as appellate Rule 26.1. She claims that the lawyers filed a false disclosure statement.

She also claims she filed a letter to the Second Circuit notifying them of this information and she further claims that because of this information, the court lacks personal jurisdiction over the parties here and deprives judges here from an opportunity to make informed decisions regarding their recusal and disqualification.

Her second argument is that no oral argument agreement existed between her and NSI International on January 27th, 2009, that the settlement agreement issues already litigated here in the district court at the Second Circuit, I pointed this fact out, are not going to be litigated a second time here.

Her third argument is that NSI and the Milman Labuda law group have undermined the integrity of public confidence in the judiciary and are not fit to practice

law in any state. Judge Bianco has already ruled on defendant's argument that the question of whether the Milman Labuda attorneys are admitted to practice in Illinois is irrelevant as to the findings and decisions rendered in this proceeding in the Eastern District of New York and the Second Circuit.

Therefore, defendant does not get a second bite at the apple here to relitigate this issue. Ms. Mustafa also attached to that submission a copy of the new appeal to the Second Circuit dated April 28th of 2016.

On May 10th of 2016, defendant Mustafa filed a docket entry 117, a motion seeking permission to video record the proceedings of this order to show cause hearing. On May 12th, 2016, I denied the motion to videotape the proceedings and that order is found at docket entry 118.

On May 23rd of 2016, plaintiff's counsel filed a letter found at docket entry 120, asserting that defendant Mustafa once again failed to comply with this court's orders and instead provided only reasons why she has not complied and continues to refuse to comply, notwithstanding the Second Circuit's denial of her appeal and affirmance of Judge Bianco's summary judgment decision.

Specifically, plaintiff's counsel requests that

in addition to the issuance of financial sanctions, that criminal sanctions be issued and that the court refer defendant Mustafa to the Illinois attorney registration and disciplinary committee, although she is not yet an admitted attorney, in the event she applies for admission at a future date.

On May 23rd, 2016, the court received a motion for reconsideration from defendant Mustafa dated May 19, 2016 as to the video recording of today's proceedings. That motion is found at docket entry 122. On May 24th of 2016 this court issued an order denying the motion for reconsideration holding, among other things, that, first of all, defendant Mustafa did not meet the requirements under Rule 59 and local civil rule 6.3 on a motion for reconsideration.

No. 2, the other proceedings in which defendant Mustafa refers have no bearing on this court's May 12th order denying the motion to videotape this show cause hearing.

Three, the bulk of defendant's arguments involve her disagreement and dissatisfaction with prior rulings and orders of this as well -- this court as well as those rendered by the Honorable Joseph Bianco. Consequently, the issues which Ms. Mustafa seeks to relitigate here are improper since she had a full opportunity to raise those

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

issues in the district court as well as in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, and for the most part she did so. Any matters that were not raised are deemed effectively waived.

Four, there is only a restricted discrete issue on this hearing today, namely whether sanctions should be imposed on defendant Mustafa for her failure to comply with the orders of this court directing her to produce responses to post judgment document requests and interrogatories served by the plaintiff.

Contrary to Ms. Mustafa's position, No. 5, there is no violation of her First Amendment rights here, since the court proceeding is open, the courtroom is open, and the court reporter is here taking down a record of today's proceedings.

No. 6, as noted in the May 24th, 2016 order, there has been no intervening change of controlling law, no new evidence presented that was not previously available on the original motion, and no need to correct a clear error or prevent manifest injustice. Likewise, a motion for reconsideration is not a vehicle for relitigating old issues presenting a case under new theories, or directing a rehearing on the merits or otherwise taking a second bite at the apple.

Consequently, the motion for reconsideration of the denial

19 1 of plaintiff's motion to video today's proceedings was 2 denied. 3 As I have stated, the purpose of today's hearing is on the defendant's failure to respond specifically to 4 the interrogatory and documents requests as previously 5 modified by this court and the court will hear from 6 7 defendant Mustafa only as to any further statements or testimony she wishes to place in the record, which goes 8 directly and specifically to her failure to comply with 9 10 this court's orders, to provide the information as 11 The court intends to swear in both Ms. Mustafa directed. 12 and, in turn, whoever intends to speak on behalf of the 13 plaintiff. 14 I'm now going to ask Courtroom Deputy Ryan to 15 administer the oath to Ms. Mustafa. 16 THE CLERK: Please stand and raise your right 17 hand. 18 (Defendant sworn.) 19 THE COURT: Ms. Mustafa, is there any other 20 information that you wish to put in the record today that 21 once again goes specifically to your failure to respond to the interrogatories and document requests that were 22 23 previously modified by me and I'll give you that 24 opportunity now?

MS. MUSTAFA: Your Honor, I have prepared a

25

20 1 statement and it all is related to today's proceeding. 2 Before I start I would like Mr. Felsen to be 3 sworn in as well. THE COURT: He's going to speak and I'll swear 4 him in before he does. 5 Okay. You will swear him in? 6 MS. MUSTAFA: 7 THE COURT: Yes. MS. MUSTAFA: 8 Okay. 9 May it please the court, I hereby raise the 10 following objections and preserve the issues for appeal to 11 the US Court of Appeals to the Second Circuit, the issues 12 I intend to preserve are, No. 1, I should not be held in 13 contempt of court because I fully and timely responded as 14 per the court's requirement to the plaintiff's post 15 judgment discovery demands as required under the Federal 16 Rules of Civil Procedure and the US Constitution, 17 Article -- Amendment Four under search and seizure. The judgment is void. I fully complied with the 18 19 court's direction to respond, Black's Law Dictionary 20 defines response as a full and complete answer and I did 21 provide that timely as required by the court. Therefore, 22 I should not be found in contempt. 23 The second issue I want to preserve for appeal 24 to the Second Circuit is the judgment entered in this case 25 is void. A void judgment is one rendered by a court that

lacks jurisdiction and is a complete nullity.

Constitutional rights may not be waived.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The third issue I would like to preserve for appeal in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals is that the court rendered a judgment where it lacked jurisdiction over the parties and conducted proceedings with knowledge it was violating the due process rights and failed to conduct proceedings in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, specifically Rule 17, NSI International with no parent company is not a real party It is a fictitious plaintiff. Rule 26, when in interest. Jamie Felsen filed the corporate disclosure statement by Rule 7.1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure at the commencement of this case he knew it was false, and as a result I was never properly served notice by a real party of interest according to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 4.

Now, the corporate disclosure statement that was initiated on -- at the commencement of this case is false and I have previously submitted to this court numerous times its falsity and the court continues to ignore it.

The relevance of a Rule 7.1 corporate disclosure statement is so that judges and magistrate judges can make a valid decision of recusal or disqualification in a case and by failing to know the corporate parent existed at the

commencement of the case, Judge Bianco, Honorable

Judge Bianco and Honorable Magistrate Judge Tomlinson were
never able to make a valid decision of recusal and this
case has proceeded since 2009 or 2012 since -- without
jurisdiction.

I repeatedly brought this to the court's attention. Mr. Felsen has admitted that Powerview Investment Limited is the sole -- or is a -- NSI International is a subsidiary of Powerview Investment Limited and 156697 before the Northern District of Illinois and so, therefore, that evidence that he has filed conflicting and contrary statements of corporate ownership that if NSI has a parent company that it admitted, then Mr. Felsen filed a false statement on the Eastern District of New York.

There is also case law in Jaffey and Ashra versus Brunt in the US District Court in the Southern District of New York, they held there is no statute of limitations or repose runs on the judgment where the court lacks either subject matter jurisdiction or personal jurisdiction or where otherwise entered in violation of due process of law and the judgment must be set aside.

With regards to my answer it is completely sufficient. At document -- in my answer I included the

United States District Court Northern District of Illinois pleading by Jamie Felsen where he said to the extent required to be disclosed NSI International is a subsidiary of Powerview Investment Limited which is not publicly owned. If you look at my appellate brief in 143706 I wrote, quote, NSI corporate disclosure statement, pursuant to Rule 26.1 of the federal rules of appellate procedure, undersigned counsel of record for appeal Lee, NSI International Inc. states there are no parent corporations or any publicly held corporation which owned 10 percent or more of its stock, NSI stock.

I wrote how does NSI counsel know this? This is contradictory to evidence in the record. Mustafa directs the court's attention to 12552-3218 in its entirety. The document references the work from the New York Supreme Court which states the submissions reflect that NSI is owned almost all by Powerview a British Virgin Isles power company who's owners are -- at a minimum joint and several liability should attach to all conspirators named in 3218.

Now, Mr. Felsen filed at the commencement of this case a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 7.1 statement that indicated none where he was supposed to identify any corporate parent. In 125528, I challenged the corporate ownership as required to be reported. On May 6th of 2016, I believe it's my Exhibit 2, I filed the

actual statement from the federal court in Northern

District of Illinois, and I'm quoting Mr. Felsen who said,
to the extent required to be disclosed, NSI International
is a subsidiary of Powerview Investment Limited which is
now publicly owned.

So we have contradictory statements made in federal court and Federal Rule 26.1 statement made before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, Mr. Felsen said there is no parent company. There was no parent corporation or any corporation that owns 10 percent or more of its stock.

So my request to the court today is to have

Mr. Felsen under oath swear to which statement is correct,

and based upon the latest statement which was made in the

Northern District of Illinois, Mr. Felsen has filed a

false statement of corporate disclosure statement

knowingly before the United States District Court,

Eastern District of New York and before the Second Circuit

Court of Appeals in 143706.

With that being said, regardless of the objections to the jurisdiction of this court that I intend to raise before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, I also would like to point out that the filing of the corporate disclosure statement is Rule 7.1, but it's also covered under the duties disclosed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 26, and Mr. Felsen and NSI

International never disclosed that NSI International had a parent company from the commencement of this case until recently in the Northern District of Illinois. So they are operating by filing a false statement of material fact.

With regards to criminal conduct that this court has ignored, I believe it's document 85 where I submitted a screen shot of evidence where chief legal counsel for the Illinois Human Rights Commission Donnell Grey was blind carbon copying Joe Labuda of Milman Labuda Law Group on confidential -- allegedly confidential communications and I have -- I'm complaining of due process violations where the court's report and recommendation manufactures an oral settlement agreement that never existed, and if the settlement agreement is to be enforced, no statement of the existence of an oral settlement agreement would bear any rational relationship to enforcement of a settlement agreement where both sides in that agreement did not admit or deny the existence of the oral settlement agreement.

Now, I recently submitted to the court multiple times notice of the false corporate disclosure statements, and as a result Honorable Magistrate Judge Tomlinson and Honorable Joseph F. Bianco have not made a valid decision of recusal or disqualification and the recent information

that I did submit to the court in my request to videotape the proceedings contained -- is unsubstantiated at this moment, but it leads me to believe that NSI International, whose parent company is Powerview Investment Limited, which is a British Virgin Island offshore company, may have been -- may have -- I'm going to say compensated the court in order to violate the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and finding against Mustafa.

Further, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals summary order states specifically that the court -- each side was to bear its own costs. However, Mr. Felsen submitted and was to proceed by order of this court in the Eastern of New York, Mr. Felsen received court costs when the Second Circuit order specifically said that each side would bear its own costs.

Now, I have answered and I have responded in full compliance with the court's order to respond. Beyond that, I don't see how court could find me in contempt of an order where the entire proceedings and the judgment that have been entered are void and I'd like to preserve all of these issues for the Second Circuit Court of Appeals because I intend, regardless of the ruling of the court today, I do intend to file an appeal on this issue alone.

And basically that's all I have to say.

27 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 First of all --3 MS. MUSTAFA: Oh, wait. Magistrate Judge, your Honor, I request 4 specifically that this court swear in Mr. Felsen and ask 5 him to make a corporate disclosure statement to the court 6 7 on the record on behalf of NSI International. I have already indicated that I was 8 THE COURT: swearing in both sides for the purposes of this hearing 9 10 and I will swear in Mr. Felsen momentarily. 11 However, before I do so I do want to note for 12 the record that I heard your statement, Ms. Mustafa, and 13 with one or two perhaps edifications nothing I have heard 14 this morning is not something that hasn't already been 15 stated in the papers you submitted to the court. So I 16 have those. 17 The one thing I do want to ask --18 MS. MUSTAFA: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: I do want to ask you very 20 specifically however is, since I indicated previously that 21 those responses essentially are what you filed is really 22 not the focus of this hearing today, and concern arguments 23 that have been made in the past or could have been made in 24 the past with respect to the underlying litigation in this 25 matter that took place before the district court, as well

as what you presented to the Second Circuit on your appeal, frankly doesn't impact what we are here today to address, which is specifically I'll ask you now on the record.

Will you agree to comply in the next 21 days with my prior directives to respond completely and specifically to the individual document requests and interrogatories which I had approved as modified?

MS. MUSTAFA: Your Honor, with all due respect,
I am reserving my rights under the Fifth and
14th Amendment of the Constitution and of the Fourth
Amendment against unreasonable search and seizure and I
will happily respond to the interrogatories once
Mr. Felsen proves to this court that NSI International
with no parent company is an actual plaintiff that exists
versus NSI International with a parent company Powerview
Investment Limited, which is what he asserted in the
Northern District of Illinois.

Now, with all due respect, your Honor, I intend to fully comply with your orders and I always will.

However, I have to stand firm in defense of my constitutional rights to due process and, frankly, these proceedings are not -- have not been conducted in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. I have not been served, and so once Mr. Felsen clears up the

29 1 inconsistency in the corporate disclosure statements, I 2 will be more than happy to respond and fully disclose all 3 of my financial and personal information. THE COURT: Well, you just made reference to not 4 being served; not being served with what? 5 I don't understand. 6 7 MS. MUSTAFA: A plaintiff NSI International with no parent company does not exist and reported by 8 Mr. Felsen at the commencement of this case November of 9 10 2012, a plaintiff that does exist -- does not exist cannot 11 serve process because it is not a real party interest as 12 defined by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure Rule 17. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 Did you bring this up --15 MS. MUSTAFA: Failure to disclose pursuant to 16 the duty to disclose and defined by Federal Rule of Civil 17 Procedure Rule 26 renders this -- the judgment void, and I 18 have to have proof of their validity so that the invasion 19 of my privacy is not compromised. 20 I think you know that the invasion of my privacy 21 that will incur because I have to answer these questions 22 specifically is not compromised by a void judgment of the 23 court. 24 THE COURT: Let me ask you --25 MS. MUSTAFA: And I will happily respond and I

30 1 will be specific. 2 I am trying to comply, but, at the same time, 3 I'm not going to sit idly by and watch my constitutional rights and guarantees be trampled by Mr. Felsen and NSI 4 International. 5 6 THE COURT: Several questions. 7 One is, did you raise the 7.1 disclosure argument with Judge Bianco during the course of the 8 9 underlying litigation up to the point of the summary 10 judgment process? 11 MS. MUSTAFA: I did. 12 THE COURT: And did you raise it again in the 13 Second Circuit? 14 MS. MUSTAFA: Pardon? 15 THE COURT: Did you raise it again in the Second 16 Circuit in your initial appeal? 17 MS. MUSTAFA: I did raise the issue -- I have it 18 right here in my reply brief, I did raise it in my reply 19 brief and, frankly, where I did not have the information 20 to prove it was false I did raise it before the district 21 court 125528, doc 3218, and I also raised it in the -- I 22 don't have it in front of me, I believe it was doc 38 or 23 39 where the Exhibits A through P are hard copied in the 24 file. 25 I believe that the New York Supreme Court order

that discloses that NSI International is, in fact, a wholly owned subsidiary of Powerview Investments Limited is in 32 -- I believe it's Exhibit C in the Exhibit A through P, and I have repeatedly demanded that those exhibits be scanned into the system and made public, but that has not occurred either.

THE COURT: Looking to the Second Circuit decision, the order, the last paragraph deals with the circuit's finding that the remaining issues raised by you on the appeal were found to be without merit.

Now, you have also brought up today the fact that you are asserting your Fifth Amendment right. I want to make sure I understand what you are saying here. The Fifth Amendment right would go to the question of not incriminating yourself. Is that what you are raising?

MS. MUSTAFA: Mr. Felsen has asked for criminal sanctions.

I believe this is a civil trial and criminal sanctions are not appropriate, and I have not failed to answer any question this court is asking me. So I'm not -- I only said the Fifth Amendment because Mr. Felsen is asking for criminal sanctions.

THE COURT: All right.

I wanted to clarify that because this is a civil proceeding.

MS. MUSTAFA: But regardless, I am asserting my right to due process under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the 14th Amendment and I am asserting that the proceedings were not conducted in compliance with the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure which resulted in a due process violation of my constitutional rights and specifically under the 14th Amendment.

And I believe that Mr. Felsen can clear this up by swearing under oath that there is the corporate ownership of NSI International. What he filed in the Northern District of Illinois contradicts what he filed before the Eastern District of New York, and also NSI, I provided to the court, NSI filed a contradictory statement to -- in the Northern District of Ohio as well.

So here we have a separate law firm filing a corporate disclosure statement in Ohio that discloses that NSI International is a wholly owned subsidiary Power Investment, that law firm has no relationship to these proceedings but that's what they disclosed, the New York Supreme Court disclosed NSI International is a wholly owned subsidiary of Powerview Investment, and that is contained at 125528 doc 3218 and most recently Mr. Felsen filed NSI International is a subsidiary of Powerview Investment Limited before the Northern District of Illinois.

However, Mr. Felsen also filed before this court at the commencement of this case a Rule 7.1 statement, corporate disclosure statement that says none where he's required to disclose corporate ownership. Any parent and Powerview Investment Limited is the parent company of NSI International, which is also consistent with what I was informed of when I was employed by NSI.

Further, he repeats his false filing before the Second Circuit Court of Appeals, Rule 26.1. He wrote there is no parent company which directly contradicts the requirement of Rule 7.1, Rule 26.1, and also the federal rules of -- the duty of discovery as required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26. Now, his failure to disclose renders the plaintiff in this case fictional, and fictitious plaintiffs may not lack capacity and standing to sue or to be sued and standing wise I can cite to Jan v The Company of Wildlife, Supreme Court, and they did not bring a case with me that identifies capacity, but standing, you must have standing at the commencement of a case and clearly here, Mr. Felsen by his false -- corporate disclosure statement did not have standing at the commencement of this case.

So to hold me in contempt of court for a case that where the plaintiff never had standing and the court never had jurisdiction is, frankly, absurd.

34 1 THE COURT: All right. Your arguments are noted 2 for the record. All right. 3 As I indicated earlier, at the outset today, we are going to swear in both sides here. So, Mr. Felsen, I 4 assume you are going to speak on behalf of plaintiff? 5 6 MR. FELSEN: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to stand and raise your right hand as Deputy Ryan administers the oath. 8 9 (Attorney sworn.) 10 THE COURT: Mr. Felsen, this is your opportunity 11 to present your argument. 12 MR. FELSEN: Your Honor, most of what 13 Ms. Mustafa has stated already has been rejected by this 14 court and by the Second Circuit. 15 Ms. Mustafa recently filed a letter with the 16 Second Circuit which I referenced in my May 23rd, 2016 17 letter, with respect to this issue concerning the 18 corporate disclosure statement. The Second Circuit 19 rejected her papers because it no longer has jurisdiction. 20 In addition, Ms. Mustafa failed to file a writ of cert to 21 the U.S. Supreme Court following the Second Circuit's 22 affirming this court's motion for summary judgment filed 23 by the plaintiff. She simply has no appeal rights left. 24 The Second Circuit ruled that this court had 25 jurisdiction --

35 1 THE COURT: Can you hear us, Ms. Mustafa? 2 MS. MUSTAFA: I can hear you, can you hear me? 3 THE COURT: Yes. MS. MUSTAFA: I don't know what happened. 4 THE COURT: We can hear you. 5 6 MS. MUSTAFA: Okay. 7 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Felsen. The Second Circuit rejected 8 MR. FELSEN: Ms. Mustafa's arguments concerning jurisdiction and 9 10 concerning the fact that the judgment entered by 11 Judge Bianco was void. She's just trying to relitigate 12 all of these issues that have been litigated for the last 13 seven years. At some point enough is enough with respect 14 to all of these motions and all litigation commenced by 15 Ms. Mustafa. 16 In addition to her violating your Honor's orders 17 with respect to producing discovery responses, she's also 18 in violation of the Illinois, Northern District of 19 Illinois's orders, specifically she filed a motion last 20 night for judgment on the pleadings, despite the district 21 court judge, Judge Tharp's directive to her to not file 22 any more motions unless they were emergencies, while a 23 motion to dismiss that was filed by my firm and NSI and 24 also a motion for sanctions against Ms. Mustafa were 25 heard.

She, nonetheless, filed this motion. She calls it an emergency motion. I'm pretty confident the court is going to find that it was not an emergency motion, but this is an example of her continuous refusal to comply with court orders.

We do have a motion pending as part of our motion for sanctions in the Northern District of Illinois for a litigation injunction. Ms. Mustafa's interfering with NSI's right to continue its business and operate its business. She's interfering with my firm's obligation to represent its clients and I have spent too much time dealing with this and I would ask -- I would renew my motion with this court to require Ms. Mustafa to get permission before filing any motions in any court before proceeding. This is just -- enough is enough already. We have had it with Ms. Mustafa's frivolous filings.

With respect to -- I'll address very briefly the corporate disclosure issue, although I don't believe it has any bearing whatsoever on the order to show cause that we are here for today. Ms. Mustafa's conveniently raising -- trying to sidestep the issues that are primarily dealing with her and trying to turn things over and trying to raise issues that aren't related to her.

The corporate disclosure statement, under Rule 7.1, and there is a template that is provided by the

Southern District of New York, it requires that corporate parents, affiliates or subsidiaries of a party only have to be disclosed if they are publicly held. NSI, as I have stated in my corporate disclosure statement in the Northern District of Illinois, NSI is a subsidiary of another company, but that company is not publicly held. So Ms. Mustafa's claim simply has no merit. It's not related whatsoever to the reason why we are here today, and Ms. Mustafa has not presented any reason why sanctions should not be issued with respect to her failure to comply with numerous orders of this court to produce documents.

We have been waiting for a year and a half to try to enforce this judgment, and she's preventing us from doing so. She simply doesn't want us to be able to get

And that's all I have to say, your Honor, on this issue. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

the money that we are entitled to.

MS. MUSTAFA: Your Honor, may I respond?

THE COURT: Briefly, yes.

MS. MUSTAFA: First of all, I didn't file a motion for judgment of pleadings. I filed a motion for leave to file based on the contradictory -- based on the contradictory corporate disclosure statements. Mr. Felsen failed to file an appearance pursuant to local rule 8316,

and also eight of the ten defendants in which Powerview Investment Limited is one of the named defendants failed to respond within 21 days pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Further, Mr. Felsen actually butchers the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. I do have the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 7.1 disclosure statement word for word here and I'd like to read it into the record:

A, who must file contents a non-governmental corporate party must file two copies of a disclosure statement that, one, identifies any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10 percent or more of its stock.

So Mr. Felsen's allegations that it's only required of publicly held corporations is unfounded. It is not a valid argument.

No. 2, they said there is no such corporation.

In the Northern District of Illinois, New York Supreme

Court and the Northern District of Ohio, NSI International identified Powerview Investments Limited as the parent corporation. So Rule 7.1, identify any parent corporation. Okay, or, two, state that there is no such corporation.

So 7.1, Mr. Felsen's filings before the

39 1 Eastern District of New York and the Second Circuit Court 2 of Appeals states that there is no such corporation. 3 New York Supreme Court, the Ohio District Court and the Northern District of Illinois is where Mr. Felsen 4 specifically states that any parent corporation is 5 6 Powerview Investments Limited. I challenge the court to 7 have him swear under oath that NSI International has no 8 parent corporation. 9 THE COURT: I'm not required to make him swear 10 to anything at this point, but I am allowing him to put on 11 the record whatever he wishes to put on the record, just 12 as I have done so in your case. 13 So is there anything else you wish to add, 14 Mr. Felsen? 15 MR. FELSEN: Nothing else, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 As I indicated earlier, part of this decision of 18 mine has to be done by report and recommendation to 19 Judge Bianco. So for the record I am reserving decision, 20 and I will prepare a report and recommendation as quickly 21 as I am able to and get that over to Judge Bianco. 22 This hearing is closed for all purposes. 23 MS. MUSTAFA: Your Honor, one more thing. 24 THE COURT: Yes. 25 MS. MUSTAFA: May I say one more thing.

40 1 I apologize, I forgot to say this. With the 2 contempt order, once I receive the order I do have 30 days 3 to appeal it, Mr. Felsen is incorrect. I am able to appeal it, and also any order that is void, there is no 4 statute of limitations on voided judgments, there is no 5 statute of limitations on voided orders. 6 7 The fact that I could only prove the false corporate disclosure statement as of their filing in the 8 Northern District of Illinois is the evidence I needed 9 10 where Mr. Felsen contradicted himself in federal court, 11 and I do intend to appeal that and also to bring it to the 12 attention of the authorities. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 As I have indicated, at this point the record is 15 now officially closed for this hearing and we will proceed 16 from there. 17 Thank you, all. 18 MR. FELSEN: Thank you. 19 MS. MUSTAFA: Thank you. 20 (The matter concluded.) 21 22 23 24 25

1

\$	10:24, 12:1, 13:16 2016 [22] - 1:7, 7:24, 9:11,	712-6106 [1] - 1:20	affirming [2] - 9:8, 34:22
\$80,645 [1] - 3:10	11:19, 12:19, 13:13, 13:19,	712-6122 [1] - 1:20 78 [1] - 4:2	agency [1] - 10:20 agree [1] - 28:5
	13:24, 14:6, 14:15, 14:19,	70[i] - 4.2	agreement [8] - 15:18,
1	14:22, 16:10, 16:11, 16:14,	8	15:19, 25:14, 25:15, 25:16,
1 [3] - 11:4, 11:7, 20:12	16:17, 17:7, 17:9, 17:11,	8 [1] - 12:17	25:18, 25:20
10 [3] - 23:10, 24:10, 38:13	18:16, 23:25, 34:16	80 [1] - 3:16	AKT [1] - 1:4
100 [2] - 1:20, 9:13	21 [2] - 28:5, 38:3	82 [1] - 3:18	ALJ [2] - 5:5, 10:15
102 [1] - 13:14	22 [3] - 9:7, 10:24, 12:1	83 [1] - 3:20	ALJs [1] - 10:11
103 [1] - 13:19	23rd [3] - 16:17, 17:7, 34:16	8316 [1] - 37:25	allegations [1] - 38:15
105 [1] - 14:2	24th [2] - 17:10, 18:16	85 [3] - 7:19, 9:12, 25:7	alleged [1] - 10:6
106 [1] - 14:2	25th [1] - 8:22	87 [2] - 4:1, 8:8	allegedly [1] - 25:11
107 [1] - 14:2	26 [6] - 1:7, 4:6, 21:11, 24:25,	88 [2] - 8:5	allowing [1] - 39:10
108 [1] - 14:5	29:17, 33:13	89 [1] - 8:12	almost [1] - 23:17
10th [1] - 16:11	26.1 [5] - 15:8, 23:7, 24:7,	8th [3] - 3:4, 9:1, 13:13	alone [1] - 26:24
11 [1] - 12:17	33:9, 33:11	611 [5] 6.4, 6.1, 16.16	Alphonse [1] - 12:22
11042 [1] - 1:14	26th [3] - 3:4, 3:11, 14:16	9	alternative [1] - 12:23
113 [1] - 14:18	27th [1] - 15:19		Althea [1] - 10:21
115 [1] - 14:21	28th [5] - 9:11, 11:12, 11:19,	9 _[2] - 7:24, 12:17	amended [4] - 11:4, 14:17,
116 [1] - 14:24	12:19, 16:10 29th [2] - 3:12, 14:15	92 [1] - 8:14	14:20, 14:23
117 [1] - 16:12	2nd [1] - 14:19	93 [1] - 8:18	Amendment [10] - 6:21,
11722 [1] - 1:20	Ziiu [i] - 14.19	95 [1] - 8:24	18:12, 20:17, 28:11, 28:12,
118 [1] - 16:16	3	96 [3] - 9:3, 13:14, 13:20	31:12, 31:14, 31:21, 32:3, 32:7
1180 [1] - 1:20	3	97 [1] - 13:20	amount [1] - 3:10
11th [1] - 10:1	3 [2] - 9:22, 13:16	9th [2] - 3:19, 8:21	answer [10] - 6:23, 7:1, 7:7,
120 [1] - 16:18	3/19/15 [1] - 8:10	^	7:14, 15:1, 20:20, 22:24,
122 [1] - 17:10	3/9/15 [1] - 8:6	A	22:25, 29:21, 31:20
12552-3218 [1] - 23:14	30 [2] - 3:17, 40:2	able [4] - 22:3, 37:14, 39:21,	answered [1] - 26:16
125528 [3] - 23:23, 30:21,	3000 [1] - 1:13	40:3	answers [1] - 4:8
32:22	32 [1] - 31:3	absurd [1] - 33:25	apologize [1] - 40:1
12th [2] - 16:14, 17:17 13 [1] - 12:17	3218 [3] - 23:19, 30:21, 32:22	according [4] - 4:25, 5:8,	appeal [22] - 3:7, 3:8, 3:12,
14 [1] - 12:16	38 [1] - 30:22	8:19, 21:16	4:5, 6:14, 7:2, 9:4, 13:17,
14 -3706 [1] - 7:3	39 [2] - 4:6, 30:23	action [7] - 4:22, 7:5, 10:20,	16:9, 16:22, 20:10, 20:23,
14-3706 [2] - 23:5, 24:18	3rd [1] - 7:17	11:5, 11:21, 13:7, 13:11	21:4, 23:8, 26:23, 28:2,
14th [3] - 28:11, 32:3, 32:7	4	activity [2] - 6:25, 7:6	30:16, 31:10, 34:23, 40:3,
156697 [1] - 22:10		acts [1] - 10:18	40:4, 40:11
16 [1] - 12:13	4 [2] - 21:17, 38:3	actual [2] - 24:1, 28:15 add [1] - 39:13	Appeals [11] - 12:1, 18:2,
17 [2] - 21:9, 29:12	_	add[i] - 39.13 addition [3] - 17:1, 34:20,	20:11, 21:4, 24:8, 24:18,
17th [1] - 8:15	5	35:16	24:21, 26:9, 26:22, 33:9,
18th [1] - 13:19	5 [1] - 18:11	address [2] - 28:3, 36:17	39:2 appear [5] - 3:22, 7:22, 11:6,
19 [1] - 17:8	59 [1] - 17:14	addressed [1] - 12:6	12:21, 14:10
19th [3] - 13:24, 14:3, 14:6		adjourn [1] - 14:7	appearance [2] - 2:6, 37:25
1:30 [1] - 1:7	6	adjourned [1] - 14:14	appearances [1] - 11:3
_	6 _[1] - 18:16	administer [1] - 19:15	APPEARANCES [1] - 1:11
2	6.3 [1] - 17:14	administers [1] - 34:8	appearing [1] - 12:24
2 [6] - 3:21, 3:25, 4:15, 17:16,	60 [1] - 5:13	administrative [1] - 5:11	appellate [3] - 15:8, 23:5,
23:25, 38:18	631 [2] - 1:20, 1:20	admission [2] - 5:11, 17:5	23:7
20 [1] - 4:15	69(a)(2 [1] - 4:21	admit [1] - 25:19	apple [2] - 16:8, 18:24
2009 [2] - 15:19, 22:4	6th [4] - 8:12, 13:23, 14:22,	admitted [10] - 4:24, 5:10,	applies [1] - 17:5
2012 [2] - 22:4, 29:10	23:25	5:15, 9:19, 11:8, 11:18,	appropriate [1] - 31:19
2013 [4] - 4:6, 10:2, 11:4,		16:3, 17:5, 22:7, 22:14	approved [1] - 28:8
11:7	7	adopted [2] - 3:3, 9:9	April [9] - 4:6, 12:19, 13:13,
2014 [5] - 3:4, 3:5, 3:11, 4:15,		adopting [1] - 9:8	13:19, 13:24, 14:2, 14:6,
12:13	7.1 [12] - 15:7, 21:13, 21:22, 23:21, 24:23, 30:7, 33:2,	advanced [1] - 10:13	14:15, 16:10
2015 [15] - 3:13, 3:17, 3:19,	33:11, 36:25, 38:7, 38:22,	affidavit [2] - 14:3, 14:5	argues [1] - 6:15
3:21, 3:25, 7:17, 8:12,	38:25	affiliates [1] - 37:2	argument [17] - 4:18, 4:23,
8:15, 8:21, 8:22, 9:1, 9:7,	707 [1] - 11:3	affirmance [1] - 16:23 affirmed [1] - 11:25	5:14, 5:20, 5:24, 6:1, 6:21,
		a	7:4, 9:21, 15:4, 15:17,

15:23, 16:2, 30:8, 34:11, 38.17 arguments [6] - 4:11, 13:21, 17:20, 27:22, 34:1, 35:9 Article [1] - 20:17 Ashra [1] - 22:16 aside [1] - 22:23 asserted [1] - 28:17 asserting [4] - 16:18, 31:12, 32:1, 32:3 assigned [1] - 5:7 associated [1] - 13:17 assume [1] - 34:5 attach [1] - 23:19 attached [2] - 4:15, 16:9 attachments [2] - 14:21, 14:24 attempt [4] - 4:12, 4:19, 6:18, 8:6 attempting [1] - 9:5

10:7, 11:5, 11:18, 13:15, 16:3

August [2] - 8:15, 8:22

authorities [1] - 40:12

authority [1] - 8:17

available [2] - 14:14, 18:19

Avenue [1] - 1:13

attention [3] - 22:7, 23:14,

attorney [5] - 9:23, 13:4,

attorneys [8] - 4:24, 9:2, 9:3,

17:3, 17:5, 34:9

attempts [1] - 6:5

40:12

В

banc [1] - 6:19 based [6] - 4:11, 8:2, 9:18, 24:13, 37:23 basis [2] - 11:22, 12:8 bear [3] - 25:17, 26:11, 26:15 bearing [2] - 17:17, 36:19 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:9 begin [1] - 3:1 behalf [3] - 19:12, 27:7, 34:5 believes [1] - 6:25 between [1] - 15:18 beyond [1] - 26:17 Bianco [22] - 3:3, 3:5, 3:17, 5:18, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13, 8:18, 8:22, 9:3, 9:9, 11:15, 13:14, 16:1, 17:23, 22:1, 22:2, 25:24, 30:8, 35:11, 39:19, 39:21 Bianco's [2] - 11:24, 16:23 bite [2] - 16:7, 18:24 Black's [1] - 20:19 **blind** [2] - 6:17, 25:10 brief [3] - 23:5, 30:18, 30:19 briefly [2] - 36:17, 37:20

bring [3] - 29:14, 33:18, 40:11 British [2] - 23:17, 26:5 brought [3] - 5:20, 22:6, 31:11 Brunt [1] - 22:17 bulk [1] - 17:20 burden [1] - 14:12 business [2] - 36:9, 36:10 butchers [1] - 38:5

C

cannot [2] - 10:12, 29:10 capacity [2] - 33:15, 33:18 carbon [2] - 6:17, 25:10 case [23] - 2:4, 3:3, 5:7, 10:14, 10:15, 18:22, 20:24, 21:14, 21:19, 21:24, 22:1, 22:4, 22:16, 23:21, 25:2, 29:9, 33:2, 33:14, 33:18, 33:20, 33:22, 33:23, 39:12 CAT [1] - 1:25 **CAUSE** [1] - 1:9 Central [3] - 1:5, 1:20, 12:23 cert [1] - 34:20 certain [3] - 4:3, 4:11, 11:20 challenge [1] - 39:6 challenged [1] - 23:23 change [1] - 18:17 charge [3] - 5:21, 5:22, 8:20 chief [1] - 25:8 Circuit [34] - 3:12, 4:4, 5:25, 6:14, 7:2, 9:5, 9:7, 12:1, 13:17, 15:12, 15:21, 16:6, 16:10, 18:2, 20:11, 20:24, 21:4, 24:8, 24:17, 24:21, 26:9, 26:14, 26:21, 28:1, 30:13, 30:16, 31:7, 33:9, 34:14, 34:16, 34:18, 34:24, 35:8, 39:1 Circuit's [3] - 12:3, 16:22, 34:21 circuit's [1] - 31:9 cite [1] - 33:16 civil [7] - 2:1, 2:4, 7:5, 10:10, 17:14, 31:18, 31:24 Civil [18] - 4:21, 15:7, 20:16, 21:9, 21:13, 21:16, 23:21, 24:25, 26:7, 28:24, 29:12, 29:16, 32:2, 32:5, 33:13, 38:4, 38:6, 38:7 claim [1] - 37:7 claims [4] - 10:13, 15:9, 15:11, 15:13 clarify [1] - 31:24 class [1] - 14:4 clear [3] - 2:25, 18:20, 32:8

clearly [1] - 33:20

clears [1] - 28:25

clerical [1] - 14:25 CLERK [2] - 2:4, 19:16 clients [1] - 36:11 close [4] - 4:2, 6:9, 7:13, 14:24 closed [2] - 39:22, 40:15 collect [1] - 4:12 College [1] - 14:9 commenced [1] - 35:14 commencement [9] - 21:14, 21:19, 22:1, 23:20, 25:2, 29:9. 33:2. 33:19. 33:22 commission [4] - 5:5, 9:24, 10:5, 10:12 Commission [7] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:9, 6:16, 6:20, 25:9 committee [1] - 17:4 communication [1] - 11:1 communications [1] - 25:11 Company [1] - 33:17 company [14] - 21:10, 22:13, 23:18, 24:9, 25:2, 26:4, 26:5, 28:15, 28:16, 29:8, 33:5, 33:10, 37:6 compel [4] - 3:13, 7:10, 7:17, 9.13 compensated [1] - 26:6 **complaining** [1] - 25:12 **complaints** [1] - 6:10 complete [2] - 20:20, 21:1 completely [2] - 22:24, 28:6 compliance [4] - 21:8, 26:17, 28:24, 32:4 complied [3] - 7:15, 16:21, 20:18 comply [16] - 3:22, 3:24, 7:21, 7:24, 8:3, 13:2, 13:6, 16:19, 16:21, 18:7, 19:9, 28:5, 28:20, 30:2, 36:4, 37:10 comprehensive [1] - 13:25 compromised [3] - 6:9, 29:19, 29:22 concern [1] - 27:22 concerning [4] - 5:17, 34:17, 35:9, 35:10 concerns [1] - 11:16 concluded [1] - 40:20 conduct [4] - 7:6, 10:7, 21:8, 25.6 conducted [3] - 21:6, 28:23, 32.4 confidence [1] - 15:25 confident [1] - 36:2 confidential [2] - 25:11 **conflicting** [1] - 22:12 consequences [1] - 13:5

consequently [2] - 17:23,

consistent [2] - 6:3, 33:6

18:25

consisting [1] - 4:2 conspirators [1] - 23:19 Constitution [3] - 6:22, 20:16, 28:11 constitutional [4] - 21:2, 28:22, 30:3, 32:6 construed [1] - 7:11 consult [1] - 13:3 contained [2] - 26:2, 32:22 contempt [7] - 13:7, 15:3, 20:13, 20:22, 26:18, 33:23, contents [1] - 38:10 context [1] - 2:24 continue [3] - 12:8, 35:7, 36:9 continues [2] - 16:21, 21:21 **continuing** [1] - 7:12 continuous [1] - 36:4 contradicted [1] - 40:10 contradictory [5] - 23:13, 24:6, 32:13, 37:23, 37:24 contradicts [3] - 15:6, 32:11, 33:10 contrary [2] - 18:11, 22:12 controlling [1] - 18:17 conveniently [1] - 36:20 copied [1] - 30:23 copies [1] - 38:11 copy [2] - 4:6, 16:9 copying [2] - 6:17, 25:10 corporate [26] - 21:12, 21:18, 21:22, 21:25, 22:12, 23:6, 23:23, 23:24, 24:15, 24:23, 25:22, 27:6, 29:1, 32:9, 32:16, 33:3, 33:4, 33:21, 34:18, 36:18, 36:24, 37:1, 37:4, 37:24, 38:11, 40:8 corporation [12] - 23:10, 24:9, 24:10, 38:12, 38:13, 38:18, 38:22, 38:23, 38:24, 39:2, 39:5, 39:8 corporations [2] - 23:9, 38:16 correct [2] - 18:19, 24:12 corrected [1] - 14:23 correcting [1] - 14:25 correctness [1] - 10:15 costs [3] - 26:11, 26:13, 26:15 counsel [13] - 6:16, 7:20, 8:19, 9:19, 9:23, 12:5, 13:21, 13:24, 16:17, 16:25, 23:8, 23:12, 25:8 Counsel [1] - 10:22 course [1] - 30:8 COURT [32] - 1:1, 2:10, 2:15, 2:17, 2:20, 19:19, 20:4, 20:7, 27:1, 27:8, 27:19, 29:4, 29:13, 29:24, 30:6,

30:12, 30:15, 31:7, 31:23, 34:1, 34:7, 34:10, 35:1, 35:3, 35:5, 35:7, 37:18, 37:20, 39:9, 39:16, 39:24, court [68] - 2:21, 3:22, 6:8. 9:9, 9:10, 12:9, 12:22, 13:2, 13:7, 13:8, 13:10, 13:15, 15:13, 15:20, 17:2, 17:7, 17:11, 17:22, 18:1, 18:8, 18:13, 18:14, 19:6, 19:11, 20:9, 20:13, 20:21, 20:25, 21:5, 21:20, 21:21, 22:20, 24:1, 24:7, 24:11, 24:20, 25:6, 25:21, 26:1, 26:7, 26:10, 26:12, 26:13, 26:18, 26:23, 27:5, 27:6, 27:15, 27:25, 28:14, 29:23, 30:21, 31:20, 32:13, 33:1, 33:23, 33:24, 34:14, 34:24, 35:21, 36:2, 36:5, 36:13, 36:14, 37:11, 39:6, 40:10 Court [25] - 1:19, 9:24, 11:3, 11:25, 18:2, 20:11, 21:4, 22:17, 23:1, 23:16, 24:8, 24:16, 24:18, 24:21, 26:9, 26:21, 30:25, 32:20, 33:9, 33:17, 34:21, 38:20, 39:1, court's [12] - 7:24, 8:17, 16:19, 17:17, 19:10, 20:14, 20:19, 22:6, 23:14, 25:13, 26:17, 34:22 Courthouse [2] - 1:5, 12:22 Courtroom [1] - 19:14 courtroom [1] - 18:13 covered [1] - 24:24 criminal [9] - 6:25, 7:6, 10:9, 17:2, 25:6, 31:16, 31:18, 31:22 current [1] - 11:2 **CV-12-5528** [1] - 1:3

D

D'Amatto [1] - 12:22
date [3] - 14:7, 14:16, 17:6
dated [4] - 10:1, 10:23,
16:10, 17:8
dates [1] - 14:14
days [6] - 5:13, 10:24, 14:21,
28:5, 38:3, 40:2
DE [10] - 4:1, 8:5, 8:7, 8:12,
9:12, 13:14, 13:19, 14:1,
14:21, 14:24
dealing [2] - 36:12, 36:22
deals [1] - 31:8
dear [1] - 10:25
December [1] - 12:13
decision [10] - 9:13, 11:24,

12:3, 16:24, 21:24, 22:3, 25:24, 31:8, 39:17, 39:19 decisions [3] - 10:10, 15:16, 16:4 declaration [1] - 14:1 declined [1] - 13:10 declines [1] - 4:7 deemed [1] - 18:3 **Defendant** [3] - 1:7, 1:16, 19:18 defendant [23] - 2:2, 3:5, 4:23, 8:12, 9:4, 9:15, 9:22, 12:2, 12:8, 12:15, 14:4, 14:6, 14:19, 14:22, 16:7, 16:11, 16:18, 17:3, 17:8, 17:13, 17:16, 18:7, 19:7 defendant's [5] - 3:8, 11:20, 16:2, 17:20, 19:4 defendants [3] - 12:11, 38:1, 38:2 defense [1] - 28:21 defined [2] - 29:12, 29:16 defines [1] - 20:20 definite [1] - 3:9 delay [2] - 12:8, 12:10 demand [1] - 4:2 demanded [2] - 4:3, 31:4 demands [6] - 4:20, 5:17, 5:24, 7:8, 12:15, 20:15 denial [2] - 16:22, 18:25 denied [8] - 3:5, 3:6, 3:7, 8:13, 8:23, 9:11, 16:14, 19:2 deny [1] - 25:19 denying [2] - 17:11, 17:18 deprives [1] - 15:15 **Deputy** [2] - 19:14, 34:8 despite [1] - 35:20 determination [1] - 7:2 determinations [1] - 10:12 **determined** [1] - 10:16 **Dictionary** [1] - 20:19 directed [5] - 3:20, 12:17, 12:25, 14:13, 19:11 directing [4] - 12:21, 13:20, 18:8, 18:23 direction [1] - 20:19 directive [1] - 35:21 directives [1] - 28:6 directly [2] - 19:9, 33:10 directs [1] - 23:13 disagreement [2] - 11:20,

disciplinary [3] - 5:4, 9:24,

disclose [5] - 29:2, 29:15,

disclosed [8] - 15:4, 23:3,

24:3, 24:24, 25:1, 32:19,

29:16, 33:4, 33:13

32:20, 37:3

17:4

24:15, 24:23, 25:22, 27:6, 29:1, 30:7, 32:16, 33:3, 33:21, 34:18, 36:18, 36:24, 37:4, 37:24, 38:7, 38:11, 40:8 discovery [7] - 9:17, 11:15, 11:23, 12:9, 20:15, 33:12, 35:17 discrete [1] - 18:5 dismiss [1] - 35:23 dismissal [1] - 5:21 dismissed [1] - 5:23 disqualification [3] - 15:16, 21:24, 25:25 dissatisfaction [1] - 17:21 district [6] - 9:9, 15:20, 18:1, 27:25, 30:20, 35:20 District [30] - 5:18, 11:17, 12:25, 16:5, 22:10, 22:15, 22:17, 22:18, 23:1, 24:2, 24:14, 24:16, 24:17, 25:3, 28:18, 32:11, 32:12, 32:14, 32:24, 35:18, 36:7, 37:1, 37:5, 38:19, 38:20, 39:1, 39:3, 39:4, 40:9 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:1 doc [3] - 30:21, 30:22, 32:22 docket [18] - 3:16, 3:18, 3:20, 4:6, 7:3, 8:5, 8:14, 8:18, 8:24, 9:2, 9:13, 13:13, 14:5, 14:17, 16:12, 16:16, 16:18, 17:10 document [13] - 4:2, 7:18, 8:6, 8:7, 12:12, 12:16, 12:18, 18:9, 19:22, 22:25, 23:15, 25:7, 28:7 documents [6] - 3:14, 4:3, 4:10, 8:1, 19:5, 37:11 done [2] - 39:12, 39:18 Donnell [1] - 25:9 Donnie [1] - 6:15 down [2] - 2:22, 18:14 due [8] - 21:7, 22:22, 25:12, 28:9, 28:19, 28:22, 32:2, 32:5 during [1] - 30:8 duties [2] - 10:4, 24:24

discloses [2] - 31:1, 32:16

disclosure [23] - 15:7, 15:9,

21:12, 21:18, 21:22, 23:6,

Ε

duty [2] - 29:16, 33:12

e-mails [1] - 6:18

EASTERN [1] - 1:1

Eastern [8] - 5:18, 11:17, 16:5, 22:15, 24:17, 26:13, 32:12, 39:1

ECF [2] - 4:4, 8:9

edifications [1] - 27:13 **EEOC** [1] - 8:20 effective [1] - 11:3 effectively [1] - 18:4 eight [2] - 7:9, 38:1 either [2] - 22:20, 31:6 emergencies [1] - 35:22 **emergency** [2] - 36:2, 36:3 employed [1] - 33:7 en [1] - 6:19 encouraged [1] - 13:3 enforce [4] - 9:5, 10:9, 13:18, 37:13 enforced [1] - 25:15 enforcement [1] - 25:17 entered [6] - 3:9, 5:17, 20:24, 22:21, 26:20, 35:10 entire [1] - 26:19 entirety [2] - 3:4, 23:14 entitled [2] - 4:1, 37:15 entry [18] - 3:16, 3:18, 3:20, 4:6, 7:19, 8:5, 8:14, 8:18, 8:24, 9:2, 9:13, 13:13, 14:5, 14:18, 16:12, 16:16, 16:18, 17:10 error [2] - 14:25, 18:20 **ESQ** [1] - 1:12 essentially [1] - 27:21 event [2] - 11:12, 17:5 evidence [5] - 18:18, 22:11, 23:13, 25:8, 40:9 exam [1] - 14:8 example [1] - 36:4 Exhibit [6] - 4:15, 9:22, 15:2, 23:25, 31:3 Exhibits [1] - 30:23 exhibits [3] - 4:5, 8:9, 31:5 exist [3] - 29:8, 29:10 existed [3] - 15:18, 21:25, 25:14 existence [2] - 25:16, 25:19 exists [1] - 28:15 expenses [1] - 13:18 **extension** [1] - 3:7 extent [3] - 12:2, 23:2, 24:3

F

fact [9] - 4:21, 9:19, 9:21, 15:21, 25:5, 31:1, 31:11, 35:10, 40:7 factual [1] - 10:17 failed [7] - 3:22, 16:19, 21:7, 31:19, 34:20, 37:25, 38:2 failing [1] - 21:25 failure [12] - 3:24, 7:24, 8:3, 13:2, 13:5, 18:7, 19:4, 19:9, 19:21, 29:15, 33:13, 37:10 false [11] - 15:9, 21:14,

indicated [7] - 11:12, 23:22,

21:19, 22:14, 24:15, 25:4, 25:22, 30:20, 33:8, 33:20, 40.7 falsely [1] - 4:16 falsity [1] - 21:21 Fareed [1] - 2:14 father [1] - 2:16 favor [1] - 3:9 Fax [1] - 1:20 FCRR [1] - 1:19 February [2] - 3:19, 7:24 federal [6] - 10:10, 23:7, 24:1, 24:7, 33:11, 40:10 Federal [20] - 1:20, 4:20, 15:7, 20:15, 21:8, 21:13, 21:16, 23:21, 24:7, 24:24, 26:7, 28:24, 29:12, 29:16, 32:2, 32:5, 33:12, 38:4, fees [4] - 9:2, 9:4, 9:6, 13:15 **FELSEN** [7] - 1:12, 2:7, 34:6, 34:12, 35:8, 39:15, 40:18 Felsen [46] - 2:8, 2:18, 4:24, 5:1, 5:4, 5:8, 5:15, 10:4, 10:14, 10:19, 11:8, 13:25, 20:2, 21:12, 22:7, 22:14, 23:2, 23:20, 24:2, 24:8, 24:12, 24:14, 24:25, 26:11, 26:13, 27:5, 27:10, 28:14, 28:25, 29:9, 30:4, 31:16, 31:21, 32:8, 32:22, 33:1, 33:20, 34:4, 34:10, 35:7, 37:24, 38:5, 39:4, 39:14, 40:3, 40:10 Felsen's [2] - 38:15, 38:25 fictional [1] - 33:14 fictitious [2] - 21:11, 33:15 Fifth [4] - 28:10, 31:12, 31:14, 31:21 file [11] - 3:8, 6:18, 26:23, 30:24, 34:20, 35:21, 37:21, 37:23, 37:25, 38:10, 38:11 filed [43] - 3:11, 3:13, 3:15, 4:5, 5:9, 6:10, 7:17, 8:5, 8:6, 8:7, 8:10, 8:17, 8:19, 9:1, 9:3, 13:16, 14:2, 14:3, 14:6, 14:19, 14:22, 15:9, 15:11, 16:11, 16:17, 21:12, 22:12, 22:14, 23:20, 23:25, 24:14, 27:21, 32:10, 32:11, 32:13, 32:23, 33:1, 34:15, 34:22, 35:19, 35:23, 36:1, 37:22 files [1] - 6:8 filing [11] - 3:25, 4:18, 5:10, 6:7, 8:16, 24:22, 25:4, 32:15, 33:8, 36:14, 40:8 filings [2] - 36:16, 38:25 final [1] - 12:11

financial [2] - 17:1, 29:3

firm [9] - 5:1, 5:4, 11:11, 14:3, 28:21, 32:15, 32:18, 35:23 firm's [1] - 36:10 first [19] - 3:2, 3:14, 4:3, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 6:18, 7:23, 7:25, 8:1, 9:15, 10:1, 12:7, 14:4, 15:4, 17:12, 27:2, 37:21 First [1] - 18:12 fit [1] - 15:25 five [2] - 6:1, 15:1 focus [1] - 27:22 following [5] - 6:2, 10:2, 10:24, 20:10, 34:21 forgot [1] - 40:1 forma [1] - 3:6 forth [1] - 13:10 Four [1] - 20:17 four [1] - 18:5 Fourth [2] - 6:21, 28:11 fourth [1] - 5:24 frankly [4] - 28:2, 28:22, 30:19, 33:25 frivolous [3] - 8:2, 8:17, 36:16 front [1] - 30:22 **full** [4] - 5:24, 17:25, 20:20, 26:17 fully [6] - 7:15, 11:13, 20:13, 20:18, 28:20, 29:2 future [1] - 17:6

findings [2] - 11:10, 16:4

G

general [1] - 6:16 Gertrude [2] - 5:5, 10:4 governmental [1] - 38:10 grant [1] - 9:11 granted [2] - 3:19, 14:13 granting [1] - 11:24 gray [1] - 6:15 Grey [1] - 25:9 grounds [1] - 8:2 Group [2] - 1:13, 25:10 group [1] - 15:24 guarantees [1] - 30:4

Н

hac [1] - 5:11 half [1] - 37:12 hand [2] - 19:17, 34:8 happily [2] - 28:13, 29:25 happy [1] - 29:2 harassment [1] - 7:12 hard [2] - 4:5, 30:23 hear [6] - 2:18, 19:6, 35:1, 35:2, 35:5

35:25 hearing [14] - 3:23, 7:23, 14:8, 14:10, 14:14, 14:16, 16:14, 17:19, 18:6, 19:3, 27:9, 27:22, 39:22, 40:15 held [8] - 15:3, 20:12, 22:18, 23:10, 37:3, 37:6, 38:13, 38:16 hereby [1] - 20:9 himself [1] - 40:10 hold [1] - 33:23 holding [2] - 5:1, 17:12 Honor [12] - 2:7, 2:12, 19:25, 27:4, 28:9, 28:19, 34:6, 34:12, 37:16, 37:19, 39:15, 39:23 Honor's [1] - 35:16 Honorable [6] - 5:18, 17:23, 22:1, 22:2, 25:23, 25:24 **HONORABLE** [1] - 1:9 human [1] - 5:5 **Human** [7] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:9, 6:16, 6:19, 25:9

heard [3] - 27:12, 27:13,

I

identifies [2] - 33:18, 38:12

identified [1] - 38:21

identify [3] - 7:6, 23:23, 38.22 idly [1] - 30:3 ignore [1] - 21:21 ignored [1] - 25:7 Illinois [39] - 4:25, 5:2, 5:4, 5:10, 5:16, 6:2, 6:3, 6:6, 6:8, 6:16, 6:19, 8:20, 9:20, 9:25, 10:6, 10:11, 11:2, 11:6. 11:9. 11:10. 12:25. 14:9, 16:4, 17:3, 22:11, 23:1, 24:2, 24:14, 25:3, 25:9, 28:18, 32:11, 32:25, 35:18, 36:7, 37:5, 38:19, 39:4, 40:9 Illinois's [1] - 35:19 impact [1] - 28:2 imposed [3] - 3:23, 13:1, 18:7 improper [1] - 17:25 inadvertently [1] - 15:1 INC [1] - 1:3 Inc [1] - 23:9 included [2] - 9:22, 22:25 inconsistency [1] - 29:1 incorporate [1] - 13:21 Incorporated [2] - 2:5, 2:9 incorrect [1] - 40:3 incriminating [1] - 31:15 incur [1] - 29:21 incurred [2] - 9:4, 13:18

27:8, 27:20, 34:3, 39:17, 40:14 indicating [1] - 10:17 individual [1] - 28:7 individually [1] - 6:23 information [13] - 4:13, 4:14, 10:17, 11:13, 13:12, 15:6, 15:12, 15:13, 19:10, 19:20, 25:25, 29:3, 30:19 informed [2] - 15:15, 33:7 initial [2] - 5:21, 30:16 initiated [1] - 21:19 injunction [4] - 8:11, 8:13, 8:23, 36:8 injustice [1] - 18:20 instead [2] - 13:22, 16:20 integrity [2] - 6:7, 15:24 intend [6] - 20:12, 24:20, 26:22, 26:23, 28:19, 40:11 intends [2] - 19:11, 19:12 interest [3] - 21:11, 21:16, interfering [2] - 36:8, 36:10 International [25] - 2:4, 2:9, 15:4, 15:18, 21:10, 22:9, 23:3, 23:9, 24:3, 25:1, 26:3, 27:7, 28:14, 28:16, 29:7, 30:5, 31:1, 32:10, 32:17, 32:20, 32:23, 33:6, 38:20, 39:7 INTERNATIONAL [1] - 1:3 interpret [1] - 10:9 interrogatories [9] - 3:15, 4:11, 8:2, 12:12, 12:19, 18:10, 19:22, 28:8, 28:13 interrogatory [2] - 12:17, intervening [1] - 18:17 invasion [2] - 29:18, 29:20 investigating [1] - 10:5 investigation [3] - 5:3, 5:6, 10:19 investigations [1] - 10:3 Investment [11] - 22:8, 22:9, 23:4, 24:4, 26:4, 28:17, 32:18, 32:21, 32:24, 33:5, Investments [4] - 15:5, 31:2, 38:21, 39:6 involve [1] - 17:20 irrelevant [3] - 9:21, 11:13, 16:4 Island [1] - 26:5 Isles [1] - 23:17 Islip [3] - 1:5, 1:20, 12:23 issuance [1] - 17:1 issue [12] - 7:23, 11:16, 12:6, 16:8, 18:5, 20:23, 21:3, 26:23, 30:17, 34:17, 36:18,

37:17
issued [10] - 3:2, 8:4, 9:7,
11:14, 12:20, 13:19, 14:17,
17:2, 17:11, 37:10
issues [13] - 11:20, 13:16,
15:19, 17:24, 18:1, 18:22,
20:10, 20:11, 26:21, 31:9,
35:12, 36:21, 36:23
itself [1] - 5:1

J

Jaffey [1] - 22:16 **JAMIE** [1] - 1:12 Jamie [5] - 2:8, 10:4, 11:8, 21:12, 23:2 Jan [1] - 33:16 January [5] - 3:12, 3:17, 10:1, 10:24, 15:19 Joe [1] - 25:10 joint [1] - 23:18 Joseph [6] - 5:18, 6:17, 10:3, 11:7, 17:23, 25:24 JUDGE [1] - 1:10 Judge [26] - 2:1, 3:3, 3:5, 3:17, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13, 8:18, 8:22, 9:3, 9:9, 11:15, 11:24, 13:14, 16:1, 16:23, 22:1, 22:2, 25:23, 27:4, 30:8, 35:11, 35:21, 39:19, judge [2] - 5:12, 35:21 judges [4] - 10:11, 15:15, 21:23 judgment [35] - 3:9, 3:14, 3:15, 4:9, 4:10, 4:20, 5:17, 6:13, 7:11, 8:1, 9:5, 9:8, 11:23, 11:24, 12:12, 13:18, 16:23, 18:9, 20:15, 20:18, 20:24, 20:25, 21:5, 22:19, 22:22, 26:19, 29:17, 29:22, 30:10, 34:22, 35:10, 35:20, 37:13, 37:22 judgments [1] - 40:5 judiciary [1] - 15:25 July [2] - 11:4, 11:7 jurisdiction [13] - 8:25, 11:14, 15:14, 21:1, 21:5, 22:5, 22:20, 22:21, 24:20, 33:25, 34:19, 34:25, 35:9 justify [1] - 10:19

Κ

KATHLEEN [1] - 1:9 **kinds** [1] - 5:9 **knowingly** [1] - 24:16 **knowledge** [1] - 21:6

L Labuda [13] - 1:13, 4:24, 5:8, 5:15, 6:17, 10:4, 10:14, 10:18, 11:7, 15:24, 16:3, 25:10 lack [1] - 33:15 lacked [1] - 21:5 lacks [3] - 15:14, 21:1, 22:20 Lake [1] - 1:14 last [3] - 31:8, 35:12, 35:19 late [1] - 14:14 latest [1] - 24:13 law [12] - 4:25, 5:12, 10:7, 11:8, 14:1, 15:24, 16:1, 18:17, 22:16, 22:22, 32:15, 32.18 Law [4] - 1:13, 14:9, 20:19, 25:10 laws [1] - 10:10 lawsuit [2] - 7:13, 8:25 lawsuits [1] - 8:17 lawyers [3] - 10:12, 11:11, 15:9 leads [1] - 26:3 leave [1] - 37:23 led [1] - 9:4 Lee [1] - 23:8 left [1] - 34:23 legal [1] - 25:8 letter [6] - 10:1, 10:23, 15:11, 16:18, 34:15, 34:17 letters [2] - 5:9, 9:23 liability [1] - 23:19 license [1] - 5:12 licensed [1] - 5:2 light [1] - 4:21 likewise [1] - 18:20 limitations [3] - 22:19, 40:5, 40:6 Limited [13] - 15:6, 22:8, 22:10, 23:4, 24:4, 26:4, 28:17, 31:2, 32:24, 33:5, 38:2, 38:21, 39:6 limited [1] - 12:14 litigated [3] - 15:20, 15:22, 35:12 litigation [7] - 8:11, 8:23, 11:16, 27:24, 30:9, 35:14, 36:8 live [2] - 2:2 local [2] - 17:14, 37:25 located [1] - 4:17 Lombardi [2] - 1:19, 2:22

М

MAGISTRATE [1] - 1:10

look [1] - 23:5

looking [1] - 31:7

Magistrate [2] - 22:2, 25:23 mail [1] - 14:4 mails [1] - 6:18 maintained [1] - 6:8 mandate [1] - 9:8 manifest [1] - 18:20 manufactures [1] - 25:13 March [9] - 3:4, 3:21, 3:25, 7:17, 8:21, 9:11, 11:12, 11:19, 13:16 Marcus [1] - 1:13 material [1] - 25:4 materials [1] - 13:23 matter [4] - 11:13, 22:20, 27:25, 40:20 matters [2] - 11:2, 18:3 McCarthy [3] - 5:5, 10:4, 10:19 McCarthy's [1] - 10:15 mechanical [1] - 1:24 meet [1] - 17:13 memorandum [1] - 14:1 merit [3] - 10:13, 31:10, 37:7 merits [2] - 11:21, 18:23 Messrs [1] - 10:14 Milman [5] - 1:13, 11:11, 15:23, 16:3, 25:10 mine [1] - 39:18 minimum [1] - 23:18 misconduct [2] - 5:13, 10:18 missing [1] - 8:8 modified [3] - 19:6, 19:23, 28:8 moment [1] - 26:3 momentarily [1] - 27:10 Mona [1] - 2:12 MONA [2] - 1:6, 1:16 money [1] - 37:15 moot [1] - 12:4 morning [4] - 2:7, 2:10, 2:25, 27.14 most [3] - 18:2, 32:22, 34:12 mother [1] - 14:12 motion [44] - 3:5, 3:6, 3:8, 3:13, 3:15, 3:18, 3:19, 7:17, 8:10, 8:13, 8:16, 8:23, 9:2, 9:12, 12:20, 13:15, 13:22, 13:25, 14:7, 14:13, 16:12, 16:14, 17:7, 17:10, 17:11, 17:14, 17:18, 18:19, 18:21, 18:25, 19:1, 34:22, 35:19, 35:23, 35:24, 36:1, 36:2, 36:3, 36:6, 36:7, 36:13, 37:22 motions [4] - 5:9, 35:14, 35:22, 36:14 MR [6] - 2:7, 34:6, 34:12, 35:8, 39:15, 40:18

magistrate [2] - 21:23, 27:4

MS [25] - 2:12, 2:16, 2:19, 19:25, 20:6, 20:8, 27:3, 27:18, 28:9, 29:7, 29:15, 29:25, 30:11, 30:14, 30:17, 31:16, 32:1, 35:2, 35:4, 35:6, 37:19, 37:21, 39:23, 39:25. 40:19 multiple [2] - 6:5, 25:21 must [4] - 22:22, 33:19, 38:10. 38:11 Mustafa [55] - 2:5, 2:12, 2:14, 3:11, 3:20, 4:23, 4:25, 5:8, 5:12, 5:16, 5:20, 6:14, 7:5, 7:10, 7:19, 7:20, 8:5, 8:16, 8:19, 9:16, 9:22, 10:2, 10:25, 12:18, 12:21, 12:25, 13:3, 14:4, 14:6, 14:19, 14:22, 16:8, 16:11, 16:19, 17:3, 17:8, 17:13, 17:17, 17:24, 18:7, 19:7, 19:11, 19:15, 19:19, 23:13, 26:8, 27:12, 34:13, 34:15, 34:20, 35:1, 35:15, 35:24, 36:13, 37:9 MUSTAFA [27] - 1:6, 1:16, 2:12, 2:16, 2:19, 19:25, 20:6, 20:8, 27:3, 27:18, 28:9, 29:7, 29:15, 29:25, 30:11, 30:14, 30:17, 31:16, 32:1, 35:2, 35:4, 35:6, 37:19, 37:21, 39:23, 39:25, 40:19 Mustafa's [12] - 3:5, 4:1, 6:18, 9:4, 9:18, 11:22, 18:11, 35:9, 36:8, 36:16, 36:20, 37:7

N

named [2] - 23:19, 38:2 namely [1] - 18:6 need [1] - 18:19 needed [1] - 40:9 never [8] - 5:1, 5:22, 21:15, 22:3, 25:1, 25:14, 33:24, 33:25 **new** [7] - 3:6, 8:19, 8:24, 14:16, 16:9, 18:18, 18:22 **NEW** [1] - 1:1 New [21] - 1:5, 1:14, 1:20, 4:17, 4:22, 5:19, 11:17, 14:11, 16:6, 22:15, 22:18, 23:15, 24:17, 26:13, 30:25, 32:12, 32:19, 37:1, 38:19, 39:1, 39:3 next [2] - 14:2, 28:5 night [1] - 35:20 No.s [1] - 12:17 non [1] - 38:10 non-governmental [1] -38:10

pauperis [1] - 3:7

per [1] - 20:14

38:13

36:14

pending [6] - 4:22, 8:25,

11:14, 11:17, 12:4, 36:6

percent [3] - 23:10, 24:10,

permission [3] - 11:6, 16:12,

performance [1] - 7:10

perhaps [1] - 27:13

permit [1] - 14:9

permits [1] - 6:22

22:21, 29:3

Ph [1] - 1:20

petition [1] - 6:19

placed [1] - 13:5

34:5, 34:23

20:14

33:15

place [2] - 19:8, 27:25

plaintiff [21] - 2:2, 2:8, 3:10,

11:25, 13:10, 13:12, 14:13,

18:10, 19:13, 21:11, 28:15,

29:7, 29:10, 33:14, 33:24,

3:13, 4:19, 5:14, 7:4,

Plaintiff [2] - 1:4, 1:12

plaintiff's [19] - 4:9, 4:10,

7:20, 8:15, 8:19, 9:17,

9:19, 11:18, 12:5, 12:9,

plaintiffs [3] - 6:12, 8:10,

12:11, 12:14, 13:20, 13:21,

13:24, 16:17, 16:25, 19:1,

practice [7] - 4:25, 5:2, 9:20,

10:7, 11:8, 15:25, 16:3

person [2] - 7:22, 12:21

personal [4] - 4:12, 15:14,

permanent [1] - 8:13

none [2] - 23:22, 33:3 nonetheless [1] - 36:1 Northern [18] - 12:24, 14:8, 22:10, 23:1, 24:1, 24:14, 25:3, 28:18, 32:11, 32:14, 32:24, 35:18, 36:7, 37:5, 38:19, 38:20, 39:4, 40:9 **note** [3] - 2:21, 9:21, 27:11 noted [2] - 18:16, 34:1 notes [1] - 5:6 **nothing** [2] - 27:13, 39:15 **notice** [9] - 3:12, 4:8, 4:14, 7:21, 8:4, 13:5, 13:9, 21:15. 25:22 **notifying** [1] - 15:12 notwithstanding [2] - 6:13, 16:22 November [2] - 4:14, 29:9 **NSI** [43] - 1:3, 2:4, 2:8, 7:17, 7:21, 8:4, 8:20, 9:1, 15:4, 15:18, 15:23, 21:9, 22:8, 22:13, 23:3, 23:6, 23:8, 23:11, 23:12, 23:16, 24:3, 24:25, 25:1, 26:3, 27:7, 28:14, 28:16, 29:7, 30:4, 31:1, 32:10, 32:12, 32:13, 32:17, 32:20, 32:23, 33:5, 33:7, 35:23, 37:3, 37:5, 38:20, 39:7 NSI's [3] - 7:25, 13:14, 36:9 nullity [1] - 21:1 numbers [1] - 5:7 numerous [2] - 21:20, 37:11

operating [1] - 25:4 opportunity [4] - 15:15, 17:25, 19:24, 34:10 option [1] - 12:23 oral [4] - 15:17, 25:14, 25:16, 25:19 **ORDER** [1] - 1:9 order [37] - 3:18, 3:19, 5:16, 6:4, 7:11, 7:22, 7:25, 9:14, 11:12, 11:19, 12:20, 13:4, 13:6, 13:19, 14:7, 14:10, 14:17, 14:20, 14:23, 16:13, 16:15, 17:11, 17:18, 18:16, 26:7, 26:10, 26:12, 26:14, 26:17, 26:19, 30:25, 31:8, 36:19, 40:2, 40:4 ordered [2] - 12:10, 12:15 orders [13] - 7:15, 11:14, 13:2, 16:20, 17:22, 18:8, 19:10, 28:20, 35:16, 35:19, 36:5, 37:11, 40:6 original [2] - 15:1, 18:19 otherwise [2] - 18:24, 22:21 out-of-state [1] - 11:5 outset [1] - 34:3 own [3] - 9:21, 26:11, 26:15 owned [8] - 15:5, 23:5, 23:10, 23:17, 24:5, 31:2, 32:17, 32:21 owners [1] - 23:18 ownership [4] - 22:13, 23:24, 32:10, 33:4 owning [1] - 38:13 owns [1] - 24:10

Paul [1] - 1:19

oath [5] - 19:15, 24:12, 32:9, 34:8, 39:7 objection [2] - 9:18, 12:4 objections [2] - 20:10, 24:20 **obligation** [1] - 36:10 observe [1] - 2:14 obtained [1] - 11:6 occurred [2] - 6:25, 31:6 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 1:9 Official [1] - 1:19 officially [1] - 40:15 offshore [1] - 26:5 Ohio [4] - 32:14, 32:16, 38:20, 39:3 old [1] - 18:22 omitted [1] - 15:1 once [6] - 13:16, 16:19, 19:21, 28:13, 28:25, 40:2 one [9] - 13:22, 20:25, 27:13, 27:17, 30:7, 38:2, 38:12, 39:23, 39:25 ongoing [1] - 5:6 open [2] - 18:13 operate [1] - 36:9

0

Ρ Plaza [1] - 1:20 pleading [1] - 23:2 p.m [1] - 1:7 pleadings [4] - 5:9, 6:7, page [1] - 7:9 35:20. 37:22 pages [2] - 4:2, 15:1 point [6] - 9:14, 24:22, 30:9, papers [5] - 4:15, 9:22, 14:4, 35:13, 39:10, 40:14 27:15, 34:19 pointed [2] - 11:23, 15:21 paragraph [1] - 31:8 pose [1] - 14:12 pardon [1] - 30:14 position [1] - 18:11 parent [20] - 21:10, 21:25, possible [2] - 8:3, 10:18 22:13, 23:9, 23:23, 24:9, post [11] - 3:14, 3:15, 4:9, 25:2, 26:4, 28:15, 28:16, 4:10, 4:20, 7:25, 8:1, 29:8, 33:4, 33:5, 33:10, 11:22, 12:12, 18:9, 20:14 38:12, 38:21, 38:22, 39:5, Postal [1] - 6:11 39:8 posted [1] - 8:9 parents [1] - 37:2 potentially [1] - 7:11 part [6] - 9:11, 9:12, 12:20, Power [1] - 32:17 18:2, 36:6, 39:17 power[1] - 23:17 particular [2] - 7:18, 11:16 Powerview [15] - 15:5, 22:7, parties [2] - 15:14, 21:6 22:9, 23:4, 23:17, 24:4, parts [1] - 12:6 26:4, 28:16, 31:2, 32:21, party [5] - 21:10, 21:15, 32:23, 33:5, 38:1, 38:21, 29:11, 37:2, 38:11 past [2] - 27:23, 27:24

preclude [2] - 7:7, 8:16 prepare [1] - 39:20 prepared [1] - 19:25 present [2] - 2:14, 34:11 presented [3] - 18:18, 28:1, 37:9 presenting [1] - 18:22 preserve [5] - 20:10, 20:12, 20:23, 21:3, 26:20 pretty [1] - 36:2 prevent [2] - 6:6, 18:20 preventing [1] - 37:13 previous [1] - 13:22 previously [9] - 3:2, 4:13, 5:12, 12:13, 18:18, 19:5, 19:23, 21:20, 27:20 **primarily** [3] - 9:18, 10:5, 36:22 privacy [2] - 29:19, 29:20 pro [2] - 2:13, 5:11 Pro [1] - 1:16 Procedure [18] - 4:21, 15:7, 20:16, 21:9, 21:13, 21:16, 23:21, 24:25, 26:8, 28:24, 29:12, 29:17, 32:3, 32:5, 33:13, 38:4, 38:6, 38:7 procedure [1] - 23:7 procedures [1] - 6:1 proceed [3] - 3:6, 26:12, 40:15 proceeded [1] - 22:4 proceeding [6] - 2:14, 16:5, 18:13, 20:1, 31:25, 36:15 Proceedings [1] - 1:24 proceedings [16] - 2:22, 11:4, 11:6, 16:13, 16:15, 17:9, 17:16, 18:15, 19:1, 21:6, 21:8, 26:2, 26:19, 28:23, 32:4, 32:19 process [8] - 21:7, 22:22, 25:12, 28:22, 29:11, 30:10, 32:2, 32:6 produce [3] - 3:21, 18:8, 37:11 produced [1] - 1:25 producing [1] - 35:17 production [1] - 4:9 professional [2] - 10:7, 10:18 proof [1] - 29:18 proper [1] - 11:22 properly [1] - 21:15 prosecuting [1] - 10:6 prove [2] - 30:20, 40:7 proves [1] - 28:14 provide [6] - 4:8, 10:17, 11:21, 14:13, 19:10, 20:21 provided [5] - 4:4, 9:16, 16:20, 32:13, 36:25 public [2] - 15:24, 31:5

publicly [7] - 23:4, 23:10, 24:5, 37:3, 37:6, 38:13, 38:16 purpose [2] - 9:6, 19:3 purposes [2] - 27:9, 39:22 pursuant [4] - 23:6, 29:15, 37:25, 38:3 put [4] - 2:24, 19:20, 39:10, 39:11

O

questionable [2] - 5:22, 6:3 questions [2] - 29:21, 30:6 quickly [1] - 39:20 quote [9] - 4:1, 4:2, 6:10, 7:9, 7:13, 10:2, 14:22, 14:24, 23:6 **quoted** [1] - 8:6

R

quoting [1] - 24:2

R&R [1] - 13:15 raise [12] - 17:25, 19:16, 20:9, 24:21, 30:7, 30:12, 30:15, 30:17, 30:18, 30:20, 34:8, 36:23 raised [4] - 4:23, 18:3, 30:21, 31:9 raising [2] - 31:15, 36:21 rational [1] - 25:17 read [1] - 38:8 real [3] - 21:10, 21:15, 29:11 really [1] - 27:21 reason [2] - 37:8, 37:9 reasonable [1] - 12:7 reasons [3] - 9:16, 13:10, 16:20 receive [1] - 40:2 received [5] - 10:3, 11:1, 13:11, 17:7, 26:13 receiving [1] - 14:15 recent [3] - 10:3, 11:1, 25:25 recently [4] - 25:3, 25:21, 32:22, 34:15 recommendation [5] - 3:3, 9:10, 25:13, 39:18, 39:20 reconsideration [5] - 17:8, 17:12, 17:15, 18:21, 18:25 record [19] - 2:6, 2:21, 2:24, 5:24, 16:13, 18:14, 19:8, 19:20, 23:8, 23:13, 27:7, 27:12, 28:4, 34:2, 38:9, 39:11, 39:19, 40:14 recorded [1] - 1:24 recording [1] - 17:9 recusal [4] - 15:16, 21:24, 22:3, 25:25 refer [1] - 17:2 reference [2] - 13:22, 29:4

referenced [1] - 34:16 references [1] - 23:15 referencing [1] - 6:18 referred [3] - 3:17, 9:12, 13:14 refers [1] - 17:17 reflect [1] - 23:16 refusal [4] - 7:25, 9:17, 11:22, 36:4 refuse [2] - 6:22, 16:21 refused [1] - 12:2 refuses [2] - 7:1, 7:14 refusing [2] - 7:14, 7:21 regard [3] - 7:16, 11:10, 11:13 regarding [6] - 10:13, 10:14, 11:2, 13:4, 13:20, 15:16 regardless [3] - 24:19, 26:22, 32:1 regards [2] - 22:24, 25:6 registration [2] - 9:23, 17:3 registry [1] - 5:4 rehearing [2] - 6:19, 18:23 reinstated [1] - 5:22 reiterates [1] - 8:8 rejected [3] - 34:13, 34:19, 35:8 relate [1] - 10:5 related [3] - 20:1, 36:23, 37:8 relationship [2] - 25:17, 32:18 relative [1] - 2:15 relevance [1] - 21:22 relief [1] - 12:5 relitigate [3] - 16:8, 17:24, 35:11 relitigating [1] - 18:22 remaining [2] - 12:18, 31:9 rendered [4] - 16:5, 17:23, 20:25, 21:5 renders [3] - 7:2, 29:17, 33:14 renew [1] - 36:12 repeatedly [2] - 22:6, 31:4 repeats [1] - 33:8 reply [2] - 30:18 report [5] - 3:2, 9:10, 25:13, 39:18, 39:20 reported [2] - 23:24, 29:8 **Reporter** [1] - 1:19 reporter [2] - 2:22, 18:14 repose [1] - 22:19 represent [1] - 36:11 represented [1] - 4:16 representing [1] - 2:13 request [9] - 4:9, 8:1, 8:2,

11:15, 12:16, 13:9, 24:11,

26:1, 27:4

requested [1] - 5:3

36:13 33:11 17:13 36:17, 37:10 37:19, 38:3 12:8, 12:20 20:20 resubmit [1] - 13:23 resubmitted [1] - 13:25 result [3] - 13:6, 21:15, 25:23 resulted [1] - 32:5 retaliation [1] - 5:21 retaliatory [1] - 7:12 review [1] - 10:10 reviewed [1] - 10:16 rights [9] - 5:5, 18:12, 21:2, 21:7, 28:10, 28:22, 30:4, 32:6, 34:23 Rights [7] - 6:2, 6:4, 6:6, 6:9, 6:16, 6:20, 25:9 **RMR** [1] - 1:19

rule [3] - 11:4, 17:14, 37:25

Rule [31] - 4:20, 11:3, 15:7,

15:8, 17:14, 21:9, 21:11,

23:7, 23:21, 24:7, 24:23,

21:13, 21:16, 21:17, 21:22,

24:24, 24:25, 29:12, 29:16,

29:17, 32:5, 33:2, 33:9, requests [13] - 3:14, 5:11, 9:17, 10:3, 11:23, 12:9, 33:11, 36:24, 38:3, 38:7, 12:12, 12:18, 16:25, 18:9, 38.22 19:5, 19:22, 28:7 ruled [2] - 16:1, 34:24 require [3] - 3:22, 11:5, rules [3] - 10:6, 23:7, 33:12 Rules [10] - 20:16, 21:8, required [13] - 5:15, 5:16, 21:13, 26:7, 28:24, 32:2, 6:14, 12:16, 20:15, 20:21, 33:13, 38:4, 38:6, 38:7 23:3, 23:24, 24:3, 33:4, ruling [1] - 26:22 33:12, 38:16, 39:9 rulings [3] - 10:15, 11:14, requirement [2] - 20:14, 17:21 runs [1] - 22:19 requirements [2] - 15:8, Ryan [2] - 19:14, 34:8 requires [1] - 37:1 S requiring [1] - 7:22 sanctions [14] - 3:23, 7:18, reserving [2] - 28:10, 39:19 7:23, 8:16, 13:1, 17:1, respect [8] - 27:24, 28:9, 17:2, 18:6, 31:17, 31:19, 28:19, 34:17, 35:13, 35:17, 31:22, 35:24, 36:7, 37:9 scanned [2] - 4:4, 31:5 respects [1] - 8:7 schedule [1] - 14:8 respond [20] - 5:17, 6:15, screen [1] - 25:8 7:14, 7:25, 9:17, 11:22, Se [1] - 1:16 12:3, 12:11, 12:16, 12:18, se [1] - 2:13 19:4, 19:21, 20:19, 26:17, search [3] - 6:24, 20:17, 28:6, 28:13, 29:2, 29:25, 28:12 second [15] - 4:12, 4:19, responded [5] - 4:13, 5:1, 4:23, 7:12, 7:25, 8:6, 8:15,5:14, 20:13, 26:16 9:1, 10:23, 13:14, 15:17, responding [4] - 7:19, 9:18, 15:22, 16:7, 18:24, 20:23 Second [37] - 3:12, 4:4, 5:25, responds [1] - 7:4 6:13, 7:2, 9:5, 9:7, 12:1, response [7] - 4:1, 4:18. 12:3, 13:17, 15:12, 15:20, 4:19, 6:12, 14:20, 14:23, 16:6, 16:10, 16:22, 18:1, 20:11, 20:24, 21:4, 24:8, responses [5] - 3:13, 3:21, 24:17, 24:21, 26:9, 26:14, 18:9, 27:21, 35:17 26:21, 28:1, 30:13, 30:15, restraining [5] - 4:8, 4:14, 31:7, 33:9, 34:14, 34:16, 7:21, 8:3, 13:9 restricted [1] - 18:5 39:1

34:18, 34:21, 34:24, 35:8, secondly [1] - 4:7 secured [1] - 6:24 see [1] - 26:18 seeking [3] - 9:3, 14:7, 16:12 seeks [2] - 7:22, 17:24 seizure [3] - 6:24, 20:17, 28.12 senior [1] - 9:23 Senior [1] - 10:21 separate [1] - 32:15 September [5] - 3:4, 3:11, 9:1, 9:7, 12:1 serve [1] - 29:11 served [7] - 5:17, 12:13, 18:10, 21:15, 28:25, 29:5 service [2] - 14:3, 14:5 **Service** [1] - 6:11 set [3] - 13:10, 14:16, 22:23 settlement [6] - 15:19, 25:14,

25:15, 25:16, 25:18, 25:19 seven [2] - 15:2, 35:13 several [5] - 9:14, 12:14, 14:21, 23:18, 30:6 shot [1] - 25:8 **show** [11] - 12:21, 12:25, 13:4, 14:7, 14:10, 14:17, 14:20, 14:23, 16:13, 17:18, 36:19 SHOW [1] - 1:9 side [2] - 26:11, 26:14 sides [3] - 25:18, 27:9, 34:4 sidestep [1] - 36:21 simply [3] - 34:23, 37:7, 37:14 single [1] - 14:12 sit [1] - 30:3 sixth [1] - 6:21 sole [1] - 22:8 sought [1] - 12:5 Southern [2] - 22:18, 37:1 speaking [1] - 2:18 **specific** [1] - 30:1 **specifically** [16] - 12:15, 16:25, 19:4, 19:9, 19:21, 21:9, 26:10, 26:14, 27:5, 27:20, 28:3, 28:7, 29:22, 32:7, 35:19, 39:5 **spent** [1] - 36:11 stand [3] - 19:16, 28:21, 34:7 standing [6] - 33:15, 33:16, 33:19, 33:21, 33:24 start [1] - 20:2 State [2] - 4:17, 9:20 state [6] - 2:6, 10:8, 11:5, 11:8, 16:1, 38:23 statement [32] - 3:9, 15:8, 15:10, 20:1, 21:12, 21:18, 21:23, 22:14, 23:6, 23:22, 24:1, 24:7, 24:12, 24:13, 24:15, 24:23, 25:4, 25:15, 27:6, 27:12, 32:13, 32:16, 33:2, 33:3, 33:21, 34:18, 36:24, 37:4, 38:7, 38:12, 40:8 statements [6] - 19:7, 22:12, 24:6, 25:22, 29:1, 37:24 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 states [10] - 7:10, 7:13, 7:15, 7:20, 10:2, 23:9, 23:16, 26:10, 39:2, 39:5 States [6] - 1:5, 6:11, 6:22, 11:25, 23:1, 24:16 stating [2] - 7:4, 8:24 statute [3] - 22:19, 40:5, 40:6 statutes [1] - 10:9 stayed [1] - 6:13 stenography [1] - 1:24

still [1] - 12:3

stock [4] - 23:11, 24:10,

38:14 subject [2] - 13:7, 22:20 submission [3] - 7:9, 7:19, 16:9 **submissions** [4] - 10:16, 13:20, 14:15, 23:16 submit [1] - 26:1 submitted [5] - 21:20, 25:7, 25:21, 26:12, 27:15 subpoena [1] - 4:14 subsidiaries [1] - 37:2 subsidiary [9] - 15:5, 22:9, 23:3, 24:4, 31:2, 32:17, 32:21, 32:23, 37:5 Success [1] - 1:14 sue [1] - 33:16 sued [1] - 33:16 sufficient [2] - 10:17, 22:25 Suite [1] - 1:20 summary [5] - 11:24, 16:23, 26:10, 30:9, 34:22 supposed [1] - 23:22 **Supreme** [9] - 9:24, 11:2, 23:15, 30:25, 32:20, 33:17, 34:21, 38:19, 39:3 **suspended** [1] - 5:13 swear [9] - 19:11, 20:4, 20:6, 24:12, 27:5, 27:10, 34:4, 39:7, 39:9 swearing [2] - 27:9, 32:9

Т

sworn [3] - 19:18, 20:3, 34:9

system [1] - 31:5

tampering [1] - 6:10

template [1] - 36:25 ten [2] - 10:23, 38:1 testimony [1] - 19:8 Tharp's [1] - 35:21 **THE** [34] - 1:9, 2:4, 2:10, 2:15, 2:17, 2:20, 19:16, 19:19, 20:4, 20:7, 27:1, 27:8, 27:19, 29:4, 29:13, 29:24, 30:6, 30:12, 30:15, 31:7, 31:23, 34:1, 34:7, 34:10, 35:1, 35:3, 35:5, 35:7, 37:18, 37:20, 39:9, 39:16, 39:24, 40:13 theories [1] - 18:23 thereafter [1] - 13:13 therefore [7] - 6:4, 6:14, 7:1, 12:2, 16:7, 20:21, 22:11 third [4] - 5:20, 8:3, 15:23, 21:3 three [1] - 17:20 timely [3] - 6:7, 20:13, 20:21 TO [1] - 1:9 today [11] - 14:16, 18:6,

19:20, 24:11, 26:23, 27:22,

28:2, 31:11, 34:3, 36:20, today's [5] - 17:9, 18:14, 19:1, 19:3, 20:1 tolerate [1] - 12:10 Tomlinson [3] - 2:1, 22:2, 25:23 **TOMLINSON** [1] - 1:9 took [1] - 27:25 trampled [1] - 30:4 Transcript [1] - 1:25 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 1:9 travel [1] - 14:11 trial [2] - 3:6, 31:18 true [1] - 5:3 truly [1] - 10:21 **truth** [1] - 10:13 try [1] - 37:13 trying [6] - 13:18, 30:2, 35:11, 36:21, 36:22, 36:23 turn [2] - 19:12, 36:22 two [6] - 9:22, 12:6, 12:20, 27:13, 38:11, 38:23

U

under [15] - 4:20, 7:2, 17:14,

24:24, 28:10, 32:2, 32:7,

18:22, 20:15, 20:17, 24:12,

U.S [1] - 34:21

32:9, 36:24, 39:7 underlying [3] - 11:21, 27:24, 30:9 undermined [1] - 15:24 undersigned [1] - 23:8 undue [1] - 14:12 unfounded [1] - 38:16 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 United [6] - 1:5, 6:11, 6:22, 11:25, 23:1, 24:16 **University** [1] - 14:9 unless [2] - 13:11, 35:22 unreasonable [2] - 6:24, 28:12 unsubstantiated [1] - 26:2 **up** [6] - 5:20, 28:25, 29:14, 30:9, 31:11, 32:8

V

US [3] - 20:11, 20:16, 22:17

valid [6] - 4:20, 9:16, 21:24, 22:3, 25:24, 38:17 validity [1] - 29:18 various [1] - 14:20 vehicle [1] - 18:21 versus [2] - 22:17, 28:16 via [1] - 2:2 vice [1] - 5:11 video [3] - 16:12, 17:9, 19:1

videoconference [4] - 2:3, 2:11, 12:24, 14:11 videotape [3] - 16:15, 17:18, 26:1 violate [1] - 26:7 violating [2] - 21:7, 35:16 violation [4] - 18:12, 22:22, 32:6, 35:18 violations [2] - 10:6, 25:12 Virgin [2] - 23:17, 26:5 void [10] - 6:5, 7:11, 20:18, 20:25, 26:20, 29:17, 29:22, 35:11, 40:4 voided [2] - 40:5, 40:6

W

wait [1] - 27:3

waiting [1] - 37:12 waived [2] - 18:4, 21:2 Walsh [1] - 10:21 wants [1] - 8:8 watch [1] - 30:3 whatsoever [2] - 36:19, 37:8 wholly [4] - 15:5, 31:2, 32:17, 32:20 Wildlife [1] - 33:17 wise [1] - 33:16 wish [2] - 19:20, 39:13 wishes [2] - 19:8, 39:11 witness [1] - 2:13 word [2] - 38:8 writ [1] - 34:20 wrote [3] - 23:6, 23:12, 33:9

Υ

year [1] - 37:12 years [1] - 35:13 YORK [1] - 1:1 York [21] - 1:5, 1:14, 1:20, 4:17, 4:22, 5:19, 11:17, 14:11, 16:6, 22:15, 22:18, 23:15, 24:17, 26:13, 30:25, 32:12, 32:19, 37:1, 38:19, 39:1, 39:3 yourself [1] - 31:15